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## 1 INTRODUCTION

The intended function of this report is to document the assessment of the transportation facilities within the proposed development area referred to as the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan situated within the City of Welland's rural boundary. Because of the development of the area, it is understood that the City's urban boundary will be expanded to include these development lands.

### 1.1 Development Context

The Northwest Welland Secondary Plan is a proposed mixed-use residential development that will provide housing for full-time residents within the City of Welland. The development area will be a community marked by sustainable transportation infrastructure through the implementation of sidewalks, cycling facilities, and/or multi-use pathways creating a unified and integrated network for all modes of transportation. The development area is comprised of approximately 190 hectares of primarily rural/agricultural designated lands. Within the project limits, there are approximately 55 hectares (or $29 \%$ of the area) of land presently developed and municipally serviced.

Situated within the northwest quadrant of the City of Welland in a currently zoned rural area, Figure 1-1 illustrates the location plan of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan. Situated to the east of the development lands, Highway 406 is accessed via Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road), Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street/Merrittville Highway), and Quaker Road. Traversing east-west to the south of the development lands is Regional Road 51 (Woodlawn Road) while Regional Road 36 (Pelham Road) traverses north-south and is located to the west of the development lands.

While single-detached residential is the predominant existing populated land use within the development lands, there are other land uses including, but not necessarily limited to: agricultural and fallow land, institutional land (Niagara Catholic District School Board, École Élémentaire Nouvel Horizon, etc.), open space and recreational land (former Welland Soccer Club), wetlands, and wooded areas. Of these non-residential land uses, agricultural lands and wooded areas are the most dominant.

Adjacent to the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan development lands, the surrounding area is comprised of singledetached residential, agricultural and fallow lands, institutional (Niagara College), open space and recreational land, and commercial. Lands to the immediate north are located within the urban boundary of the Town of Pelham (including the recent East Fonthill Secondary Plan) and City of Thorold (including the proposed Port Robinson West Secondary Plan). The East Fonthill Secondary Plan and Port Robinson West Secondary Plan are discussed further in the following section of this report.

### 1.2 Development Lands and Study Area

The proposed development lands are in the northwest quadrant of the City of Welland, bounded to the north by the City of Welland's municipal limits, to the west by Line Avenue/Clare Avenue, and to the east by the rear property line of those properties fronting onto Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street). The boundary of the development lands to the south is more complex which is bounded immediately north of Briarsdale Crescent, Northwood Drive, Ash Court, and the Seneca Trail before jogging to the north avoiding Rollins Drive up to Quaker Road where it continues east. Figure 1-2 illustrates the approximate location of the boundary of the development lands alongside the arterial and collector roadways within the limits.


Figure 1-1: Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Location Plan


Figure 1-2: Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Development Limits

### 1.3 Objectives and Transportation Assessment

To effectively understand the existing and proposed state of the transportation infrastructure within the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan development lands, the following objectives are set forth for the transportation assessment documented within this report:

## Base Year (2018), Background Traffic Conditions

- Collect and analyze eight (8) hour turning movement counts (TMCs) and twenty-four (24) hour automated traffic recorder (ATR) data including traffic volume, vehicle classification, and observed speed for the intersections and midblock roadway sections within the study area during the weekday morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hours;
- Assess traffic operations during the base year (2018) for background traffic volumes to set a benchmark for comparison to the anticipated level of service for future years before and after the full-build out of the development lands; and
- Undertake a detailed field investigation to document and review existing conditions in terms of roadway geometry, roadway cross-section, intersection control, and presence of active transportation facilities, and transit service.


## Horizon Year (2031), Background Traffic Conditions

- Project base year (2018) background traffic volumes to horizon year (2031) background traffic volumes based on projected traffic conditions on key roads within the study area


## Horizon Year (2031), Development Traffic Conditions

- Determine the trip generation and trip attraction with regards to the proposed land use(s) using the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip General Manual; and
- Determine the trip distribution of the development traffic volumes based on directional splits in the 2031 background traffic data and existing travel patterns derived from the eight (8) hour TMCs for the study area intersections.


## Horizon Year (2031), Total Traffic Conditions

- Assess traffic operations during the horizon year (2031) for total traffic volumes (background plus development) and compare to the horizon year (2031) background traffic conditions to identify changes to the level of service experienced because of development-related growth within the area; and
- Assess the capabilities of the existing transportation infrastructure to accommodate all types of road users ranging from the increased vehicular volume at intersections as well as how the traffic accesses the existing road network to the presence of pedestrians and cyclists because of the sustainability-focused design of the development lands.


## 2 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

The following section documents the state of the existing multi-modal transportation infrastructure within the study area and assesses the performance of the subject intersections through the utilization of the existing traffic data and traffic modelling software. Furthermore, the following subsections describe and discuss the existing transportation network.

### 2.1 Roadway Classification

Within the development lands, the major existing roadways are Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road), and First Avenue. Immediately outside of the western boundary of the study area is Line Avenue/Clare Avenue. Each of the three north-south roadways (Rice Road, First Avenue, Clare Avenue) intersects Quaker Road at a four-legged intersection. It is noted that Line Avenue/Clare Avenue is marginally offset between the north and south approaches.

The twenty-four (24) hour ATR data provided by the Regional Municipality of Niagara and the City of Welland was used to determine the roadway classifications per the Transportation Association of Canada's Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roadways, 2017. Table 2-1 summarizes the traffic volume and resulting roadway classification based on the average annual daily traffic (AADT) volumes.

Table 2-1: Existing Roadway Classification

| Roadway | From/To Roadways | Year | AADT | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quaker Road | Line Avenue/Clare Avenue to Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) | 2017 | 6,000 | Rural Arterial |
| Quaker Road | First Avenue to Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) | 2017 | 9,100 | Rural Arterial |
| Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) | Port Robinson Road to Quaker Road | 2016 | 7,500 | Rural Arterial |
| Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) | Quaker Road to Regional Road 41 (Woodlawn Road) | 2016 | 7,700 | Rural Arterial |
| First Avenue | Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) to Quaker Road | 2017 | 3,400 | Rural Collector |
| First Avenue | Quaker Road to Regional Road 41 (Woodlawn Road) | 2017 | 6,000 | Urban Collector |

Two (2) major regional roadways are located to the west and east of the study area: Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street). Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) is an arterial roadway that has an approximate AADT volume of 12,000 to 13,000 vehicles per day, while Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) is an arterial roadway that has an approximate AADT volume of 15,000 to 18,000 vehicles per day. Line Avenue/Clare Avenue carries approximately 2,400 vehicles per day and would be considered a rural collector. Given their proximity to the development lands, traffic data was assessed since they are roadways likely to be impacted by the development traffic.

### 2.2 Roadway Cross-Section and Intersection Control

Within the study development lands, there are three (3) primary roadways that will be impacted because of the additional traffic generated by the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan: Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road), and First Avenue.

Quaker Road is currently a two-lane roadway comprised of a rural cross-section bisecting east-west through the middle of the study area. Figure 2-1 illustrates the typical roadway cross-section throughout the corridor. As per the traffic data, Quaker Road operates as a rural arterial roadway with traffic volumes in the range of 6,000 to 9,000 vehicles per day. From west to east, Quaker Road intersects with Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) under traffic signal control, Line Avenue/Clare Avenue under all-way stop control, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) under all-way stop control, First Avenue under all-way stop control, and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) under traffic signal control. All minor intersections along this corridor operate under stop control for the minor roadway approaches only. Under existing conditions, Quaker Road operates with a posted regulatory speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour except for the school zones where School Zone Maximum Speed When Flashing signs are present, reducing the speed to 40 kilometres per hour. In general, Quaker Road has a relatively straight and flat alignment within the study area.


Figure 2-1: Quaker Road Cross-Section (View to West at Montgomery Avenue)

Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) is currently a two-lane roadway comprised of a rural cross-section traversing northsouth through the study area. Figure 2-2 illustrates the typical roadway cross-section throughout the corridor. As per the traffic data, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) operates as a rural arterial roadway with traffic volumes in the range of 7,500 to 7,700 vehicles per day. Under existing conditions, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) operates with a posted regulatory speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour and 40 kilometres per hour to the north and south of Quaker Road, respectively. In general, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) has a relatively straight and flat alignment within the study area.


Figure 2-2: Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) Cross-Section (View to South at Quaker Road)

First Avenue is currently a two-lane roadway comprised of a rural cross-section (north of Quaker Road) and an urban cross-section (south of Quaker Road) traversing north-south through the study area. It is noted that only the section of First Avenue north of Quaker Road is situated within the study area. Figure 2-3 illustrates the typical roadway cross-section throughout the corridor. As per the traffic data, First Avenue (north of Quaker Road) operates as a rural collector roadway with traffic volumes of approximately 3,400 vehicles per day. First Avenue (south of Quaker Road) operates as an urban collector roadway with traffic volumes of approximately 6,000 vehicles per day. Under existing conditions, First Avenue operates with a posted regulatory speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour. In general, First Avenue has a relatively straight and flat alignment within the study area.


Figure 2-3: First Avenue Cross-Section (View to North Near Quaker Road)

As previously indicated, the intersections of Quaker Road with Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue currently operate under all-way stop control. All other minor roadways within the development lands operate under stop control along the minor roadway. The signalized intersections of Quaker Road with Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) were included in the scope of this assessment due to their proximity to the development lands and likelihood that they will provide a link to the arterial road network. The intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street/Merrittville Highway) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) is also signalized and included because of its strategic importance as a gateway intersection from Highway 406 into the northwest quadrant of Welland. Figure 2-4 illustrates the intersection control at the subject intersections within and around the development lands. Eight (8) hour TMCs and traffic signal phasing and timing plans (as applicable) were requested for each of these intersections.

Refer to Appendix A for the traffic data collected as part of this study.


Figure 2-4: Existing Intersection Control

### 2.3 Active Transportation Facilities

Alongside Quaker Road, limited active transportation facilities are present. There is a separated segment of granular pathway on the north side of Quaker Road between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and 622 Quaker Road with a ladder cross-walk providing access to École Élémentaire Nouvel Horizon. No dedicated cyclist facilities have been provided along Quaker Road.

With regards to the north-south roadways within or adjacent to the development lands:

- Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) has no active transportation facilities present;
- First Avenue (north of Quaker Road) has no active transportation facilities present;
- First Avenue (south of Quaker Road) has semi-mountable curbs, concrete sidewalks, and bicycle lanes on both sides of the roadway; and
- Claire Road/Line Avenue has semi-mountable curbs with a concrete sidewalk on the west side of the roadway while the Steve Bauer Trail (a multi-use trail maintained by the Town of Pelham) is situated alongside the east side of the roadway.

None of the remaining minor roadways within the development lands have sidewalks or cyclist facilities. According to the Regional Municipality of Niagara's Strategic Cycling Network Development Technical Paper (2017), First Avenue/Cataract Road was confirmed as a candidate for enhanced cyclist facilities.

Opportunities exist to provide or improve active transportation facilities within the development lands to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists more effectively.

### 2.4 Transit Routes and Facilities

The existing transit service within and around the development lands is depicted in Figure 2-5. Within the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan, the only sections of roadway serviced via Welland Transit (Route 8 and Route 10) is along Quaker Road between First Avenue and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street). Route 8 offers regular service with buses arriving every 30 to 60 minutes between Monday and Sunday. Route 10 offers regular service with buses arriving every 30 to 60 minutes between Monday and Saturday with an extended bus service during off-peak periods. Immediately to the south, Route 2 provides service. Welland Transit operates under stop-request service allowing for riders to alight the bus at a location specified other than standard transit stops.

Opportunities exist to provide or improve transit routes and facilities within the development lands to accommodate transit users more effectively.


Figure 2-5: Existing Transit Routes and Facilities

### 2.5 Turning Movement Counts and Traffic Signal Phasing and Timing Plans

The Regional Municipality of Niagara and the City of Welland provided eight (8) hour turning movement counts for each of the five (5) intersections identified within or adjacent to the development lands which may be impacted because of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan development. Table 2-2 highlights the weekday one-hour morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak traffic periods.

Additionally, the Regional Municipality of Niagara provided traffic signal phasing and timing plans for the signalized intersections previously noted.

Table 2-2: Existing Weekday (AM) and Afternoon (PM) Peak Traffic Periods

## Intersection | Weekday Morning (AM) Peak | Weekday Afternoon (PM) Peak

Quaker Road and Regional Road 50 7:45 a.m. - 8:45 a.m. 3:45 p.m. -4:45 p.m. (Rice Road)

| Quaker Road and First Avenue | 8:00 a.m. $-9: 00$ a.m. | 4:15 p.m. $-5: 15$ p.m. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) <br> and Quaker Road | 8:00 a.m. $-9: 00$ a.m. | 5:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. |


| Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) <br> and Quaker Road | 8:00 a.m. $-9: 00$ a.m. | $4: 15$ p.m. $-5: 15$ p.m. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) and
7:45 a.m. - 8:45 a.m.
4:30 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.
Regional Road 50 (Niagara
Street/Merrittville Highway)

### 2.6 Traffic Analysis Methodology

Within the roadway network, intersections are typically the critical capacity control points. The five (5) intersections previously discussed have been analyzed to determine the existing level of service, average vehicular delay, and any capacity constraints as measured by volume-to-capacity ratios using Synchro 9 and SimTraffic 9. All the traffic operations modelling is per the Regional Municipality of Niagara's Guidelines for Traffic Impact Studies (2012).

### 2.7 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic Conditions

Figure 2-6 illustrates the turning movement counts during the weekday morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hours used within the traffic operations assessment. From Figure 2-6, it is apparent that the heaviest traffic flows are along Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) stressing the importance of these corridors as connectors to the Provincial Highway Network and as a gateway into the northwest quadrant of Welland. Traffic flows are approximately balanced between the north-south and east-west movements at the subject intersections.

Level of Service (a performance measure based on delay) was calculated for each approach and movement. Level of Service is a qualitative measure of traffic flow at an intersection and is dependent upon vehicular delay and vehicle queue lengths on the various intersection approaches. The values range from a Level of Service of A (little or no delay) to Level of Service of $F$ (congested conditions with significant delay). Appendix B provides further information on the Level of Service definitions (A through F). The Level of Service for each intersection is presented by approach and movement in Figure 2-7


Figure 2-6: Base Year (2018) Background Traffic Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes


Figure 2-7: Base Year (2018) Background Traffic Peak Hour Levels of Service

The analysis indicates that, overall, the intersections are all operating below capacity with an adequate Level of Service; all movements noted as being a Level of Service of $C$ or better. The one exception is the intersection of First Avenue and Quaker Road, which is experiencing a Level of Service of $D$ on the east approach (all movements); indicating that long delays may be occurring during peak hour conditions in both the AM and PM peak hours. During the AM peak hour, the control delay on the eastbound approach is 25.3 seconds (per vehicle on average). During the PM peak hour, the control delay on the eastbound approach is 29.5 seconds (per vehicle on average). Appendix B provides the traffic operations assessment reports for the base year (2018) background traffic.

### 2.8 Traffic Control Signal Justifications

The intersections of Quaker Road with Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue are currently operating under all-way stop control. The justification for installing traffic signals was evaluated per the Ontario Ministry of Transportation's Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12: Traffic Signals (2012). The results, provided in Appendix C, indicate that, in the base year (2018), background traffic conditions, traffic control signals are not justified for either of the two (2) intersections.

### 2.9 Surrounding Development and Anticipated Impacts to Traffic

As part of the transportation assessment, the surrounding area was reviewed in terms of proposed developments and the impact of the associated traffic would have on the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan.

### 2.9.1 East Fonthill Secondary Plan

The East Fonthill Secondary Plan was included in the Town of Pelham Official Plan and adopted in 2012.

Existing lands within the East Fonthill Secondary Plan are categorized as either "greenfield" or "intensification" zones. The Land Use Plan describes scheduled land uses within the secondary plan area, and includes a mix of low, medium, and high-density residential areas, environmental protection zones, mixed-use commercial centres, and public parkland. The most dominant of these are low-density residential areas and environmental protection zones. With the implementation of the Secondary Plan, the entire area within the Secondary Plan is expected to achieve a minimum gross density of 50 persons and jobs combined per hectare.

The residential growth within the East Fonthill Secondary Plan is limited to a maximum of 3,000 residents and jobs combined by 2021, 4,500 residents and jobs combined by 2026, and 5,350 residents and jobs combined by 2031. The growth within the Commercial/Employment Centre is limited to a maximum of 785 residents and jobs combined by 2021, and a maximum of 1,190 residents and jobs combined by 2031.

It is anticipated that traffic generated by the East Fonthill Secondary Plan will result in a future increase in traffic flow along Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) through the study area. Further information on the impacts of the East Fonthill Secondary Plan will be requested from the Regional Municipality of Niagara.

### 2.9.2 West Port Robinson Secondary Plan

The West Port Robinson Secondary Plan was included in the City of Thorold Official Plan and adopted in 2016.

Existing lands within the Port Robinson West Secondary Plan are considered "greenfield". The lands generally include a mix of low, medium, and high-density residential properties, institutional, mixed-use commercial, industrial, and environmental protection zones. The most dominant of these are low-density residential and environmental
protection zones. With the implementation of the Secondary Plan, the residential areas are expected to achieve a gross density of 50 persons and jobs combined per hectare.

The Secondary Plan area is expected to generate approximately 12,500 people and jobs, divided into 8,500 people for residential areas, 2,400 jobs for employment areas, and 1,850 jobs for commercial areas. The employment area is expected to develop as a Business Park and include both light industrial uses and office uses.

It is anticipated that traffic generated by the West Port Robinson Secondary Plan will result in a future increase in traffic flow along Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) through the study area. Further information on the impacts of the West Port Robinson Secondary Plan will be requested from the Regional Municipality of Niagara.

### 2.9.3 Niagara College, Welland Campus

The Niagara College, Welland Campus is located between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue on Regional Road 41 (Woodlawn Road). According to the City of Welland, they have not been made aware of any significant expansion plans at Niagara College, Welland Campus that would result in significant impacts on traffic operations within the study area.

### 2.9.4 Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) Extension

In the Regional Municipality of Niagara's Transportation Master Plan, Subarea Analysis Summary (2017), it was recommended that the Capital Budget 2017 include a project to construct a new connector roadway, extending Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Cataract Road. The Region indicated that within the next five (5) years, this extension will be designed and constructed. This is expected to significantly impact traffic operations in the surrounding area, as the section would be a candidate to become a Regional Roadway and act to relive Regional Road 20 of additional capacity heading towards Highway 406. Further information on the impacts of the Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) extension will be requested from the Regional Municipality of Niagara.

## 3 PREFERRED PLAN

Figure 3-1 shows the preferred plan representing the full build-out of the North West Welland Secondary Plan. A collector road has been proposed that will service low density residential development within the development. The collector road will provide new points of access on Clare Avenue and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) south of Quaker Road, on Quaker Road between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue and First Avenue north of Quaker Road, creating new intersections.


Figure 3-1: Preferred Land Use Plan

Medium density development (townhouse and condominium complexes) will be located along Quaker Road, with direct access to Quaker Road. Low density development (single family dwellings) will be located along the collector road or local roads (not shown in the preferred land use plan). Mixed use development will be located on the northwest, northeast and southeast quadrants of the intersection of Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road).

Given the above, for the traffic analysis relating to the collector roads, these roads were given a name Street ' $A$ ', Street 'B', Street ' $C$ ' and Street ' $D$ ' as shown in Figure 3-2, corresponding to Blocks A through D. It has also been assumed that all medium density development will have direct access onto the existing road network (Regional Road 54 (Rice Road), Quaker Road or First Avenue). The collector roads will provide access to the low-density development (single family dwellings) except for single-family development located on the west side of Rice Road. SGL Planning indicated the total number of single-family dwelling units located within the North West Welland Secondary Plan.


Figure 3-2: Street Names and Single Residential Units

## 4 FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

To assess future traffic conditions in 2031, the Region of Niagara retained IBI Group to use the Niagara Region Travel Forecasting Model to forecast traffic flow along key roads in the study area. A copy of the memorandum containing the results of their analysis is provided in Appendix D. In the study area, it was presumed that there would be no changes to the cross-section of Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street), First Avenue, Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) or Quaker Road because of area development (including the North West Welland Secondary Plan). However, the Region is forecasting that Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) will need to be widened to a 4-lane cross-section. Also, Merritt Road
would need to be widened to a 4-lane cross-section and an extension would be built between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Cataract Road. The extension would also have a 4 -lane cross-section.

SGL Planning and Design provided the Region of Niagara and their modelling consultant IBI Group with population and employment figures for the growth zones within the study area for the preferred option as previously shown in Figure 3-1. A copy of the growth zones and the corresponding population and employment growth is shown in Figure $4-1$ and Table 4-1. A population increase of 6,279 is anticipated to occur by 2031 as a direct result of the new development in the North West Welland Secondary Plan. A modest increase in employment is anticipated to occur, 84 jobs in total.


Figure 4-1: North West Welland Secondary Plan Growth Zones

Table 4-1: Population and Employment Growth

|  | 2011 |  | 2031 Base |  | Increase from <br> Secondary Plan |  | 2031 NWWSP |  | 2011 <br> Growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Pop. |  | Total <br> Jobs | Total <br> Pop. | Total <br> Jobs | Pop. | Jobs | Total <br> Pop. | Total <br> Jobs | Total <br> Pop. | Total <br> Jobs |
| 7740 | 1,100 | 379 | 1,151 | 635 | 1,414 | 0 | 2,564 | 635 | $133 \%$ | $68 \%$ |  |
| 7741 | 522 | 242 | 447 | 288 | 2,237 | 84 | 2,684 | 372 | $414 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
| 7742 | 1,148 | 403 | 982 | 491 | 1,335 | 0 | 2,317 | 491 | $102 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  |
| 7743 | 418 | 942 | 357 | 1,185 | 1,293 | 0 | 1,650 | 1,185 | $295 \%$ | $26 \%$ |  |
| Total | 3,187 | 1,966 | 2,937 | 2,598 | 6,279 | 84 | 9,216 | 2,682 | $189 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |

Table 4-2 shows the change in traffic flow between 2011 and 2031 during the AM peak, as a result of the increase in population and employment within the North West Welland Secondary Plan area, in addition to the forecasted increase in population and employment in the surrounding area, along with changes to the surrounding road network (i.e. the widening of Rice Road and Merritt Road and the extension of Merritt Road between Cataract Road and Rice Road). The following is noted in the AM peak:

- Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) between Port Robinson Road and Regional Road 41 (Woodlawn Road) will experience a significant increase in traffic flow ( $188 \%$ northbound; $17 \%$ southbound);
- Niagara Street between Port Robinson Road and Regional Road 41 (Woodlawn Road) will experience a significant increase in traffic ( $55 \%$ northbound; $34 \%$ southbound)
- Merritt Road between Pelham Street and Rice Road will experience a significant increase in traffic flow (151\% eastbound; $487 \%$ westbound)
- Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) between Cataract Road and Highway 406 will experience a significant increase in traffic flow ( $452 \%$ eastbound, $147 \%$ westbound);
- Quaker Road will experience a significant increase in traffic flow (101\% eastbound, $47 \%$ westbound)

Sections of Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and First Avenue will not experience a significant change in traffic because of the changes to the surrounding road network.

IBI Group did not provide results for the PM peak, indicating that they had less confidence in the model outputs.

Table 4-2: Population and Employment Growth

| Street | Corridor | 2011 AM Peak Hour Volume |  | 2031 AM Peak Hour Volume NWWSP |  | 20112031 NWWSP Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB/EB | SB/WB | NB/EB | SB/WB | NB/EB | SB/WB |
| Pelham Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 221 | 256 | 257 | 283 | 16\% | 11\% |
| Rice Road | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 212 | 189 | 611 | 221 | 188\% | 17\% |
| Cataract Road/ <br> First Avenue | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 291 | 432 | 278 | 448 | -4\% | 4\% |
| Niagara Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 286 | 237 | 443 | 317 | 55\% | 34\% |
| Merritt Road | Pelham Street and Rice Road | 146 | 31 | 367 | 182 | 151\% | 487\% |
| Merritt Road | Rice Road and Cataract Road (Extension) | - | - | 867 | 310 | - | - |
| Merritt Road | Cataract Road and Highway 406 | 214 | 330 | 1181 | 815 | 452\% | 147\% |
| Quaker Road | Pelham Street and Niagara Street | 253 | 78 | 509 | 115 | 101\% | 47\% |

*Note Auto volumes have not been calibrated to local level

## 5 ANALYSIS OF FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Based on the above, Associated Engineering developed an estimate of future traffic conditions at the five study intersections during the AM and PM peak, in addition to anticipated traffic conditions at the four new collector road intersections (AM only) because of development within the North West Welland Secondary Plan. The following section presents the findings under horizon year conditions (with no changes to traffic control) and with any identified improvements (because of the additional traffic).

### 5.1 Horizon Year Conditions (2031)

Using the growth factors provided in Table 4-1, an estimate of future turning movement counts was developed for the five study intersections. For the AM peak, the 2011-2031 growth factor was applied to the 2018 turning movement counts (specific to each approach), prorated to reflect an exponential growth and the year of the count (2018). For the PM peak, as directed by the Region, the same 2011-2031 growth factor (in the AM) was applied to the 2018 turning movement counts (specific to each approach) and prorated to reflect an exponential growth and the year of the count (2018). As such, the results presented in this report for the PM peak are only meant to highlight potential future issues with capacity (by inference) and should be treated with caution.

Figure 5-1 shows the turning movement counts (AM and PM) for the five study intersections in addition to link volumes. Of note, is a heavy northbound right/westbound left traffic flow at the intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road), and a significant increase in through traffic along Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road.

### 5.2 Trip Generation and Distribution on Collector Roads

It was assumed that the collector roads (Street ' $A$ ', Street ' $B$ ', Street ' $C$ ' and Street ' $D$ ') would only service low density residential development. Traffic generated by medium density and mixed-use development indicated in the preferred plan would have direct access to Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) or First Avenue and would, therefore, have already been accounted for in the analysis prepared by IBI Group (Table 4-2). Trip generation on the collector roads in Block A, B, C and D was estimated using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition for Single Family Detached Housing (Land Use Code 210) and is shown in Table 5-2. Trips generated by low density residential development on the west side of Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) north of Quaker Road would directly access Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) via a local road and is presumed to have been accounted for in the analysis prepared by IBI Group.

Table 5-1: Trip Generation - Single Family Detached Housing

| Location | \# Units | AM Peak |  | AM Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In \% | Out \% |
| Block A | 339 | 61 | 184 | 25 | 75 |
| Block B | 233 | 42 | 128 | 25 | 75 |
| Block C | 253 | 46 | 138 | 25 | 75 |
| Block D | 198 | 36 | 109 | 25 | 75 |

Inbound and outbound trips at the intersection of Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Street 'A'/Street 'B', Quaker Road and Street ' $B$ '/Street ' $C$ ' and First Street and Street ' $D$ ' were distributed per the traffic flow on the major road (Regional Road 54 (Rice Road), Quaker Road and First Street) as noted in Table 4-2, with an equal amount of traffic entering and exiting Blocks A, B, C by the two proposed collector road accesses provided. At the intersection of Claire Road and Street 'A', a 50/50 split was presumed in the distribution of northbound/southbound traffic, reflecting AM peak hour traffic conditions based on a recent ATR count provided by the City of Welland. Traffic generation was not calculated for the PM peak hour, given the lack of information regarding directional flow.

Figure 5-2 shows the distribution of traffic at the four collector road intersections during the AM peak hour. Major road through movements (Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue) were estimated at the four collector road intersections based on the traffic volumes on the downstream intersection. A growth factor was applied to northbound/southbound through movements on Claire Avenue based on AM peak hour data collected from a recent Automated Traffic Recorder count ${ }^{1}$. Finally, it was assumed that there would be no eastbound-westbound through traffic across Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) or First Avenue or northbound-southbound through traffic across Quaker Road.

[^0]

Figure 5-1: Future (2031) Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes - Major Roads


Figure 5-2: Future (2031) Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes - Collector Roads

### 5.3 Horizon Year Traffic Conditions (No Improvements)

Figure 5-3 shows the anticipated Level of Service at the study intersections for the 2031 horizon year with no improvements (i.e. additional auxiliary lanes or changes to traffic control), aside from the widening anticipated to occur on Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) to 4-lanes, Merritt Road (to 4-lanes) and the Merritt Road extension (between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Cataract Road (4-lanes). Appendix E shows the Synchro outputs for the horizon year (2031) with no improvements.

## Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Quaker Road

The intersection of Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Quaker Road, currently signalized, is anticipated to continue to operate well below capacity, with a Level of Service of B or better, largely due to the modest growth anticipated along this roadway. No changes to the lane configuration or traffic control are recommended.

## Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road

While Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) will be widened to a four-lane cross-section, the intersection of Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road, currently under all-way Stop control, will experience significant congestion because of the noted increase in traffic in the AM and PM peak hour. In the AM peak hour, significant delay (Level of Service of F) was noted on the eastbound approach ( 96.1 seconds/vehicle) and the northbound approach ( 317.8 seconds/vehicle). In the PM peak hour, significant delay was noted on all four approaches (ranging from 90 seconds/vehicle to 417 seconds/vehicle), all are at a Level of Service of F. Based on anticipated traffic conditions, this intersection meets the warranting requirements for a traffic signal when applying the same growth rates to the base year 8-hour turning movement count. The signal warrants for the 2031 horizon year are provided in Appendix F.

## First Avenue/Cataract Road and Quaker Road

The intersection of First Avenue and Quaker Road, also currently under all-way Stop control, will experience significant congestion because of the noted increase in traffic in the AM and PM peak hour on Quaker Road. In the AM peak hour, significant delay (Level of Service of F) was noted on the eastbound approach ( 125.3 seconds/vehicle) and the westbound approach ( 83.8 seconds/vehicle). In the PM peak hour, significant delay (Level of Service of F) was also noted on the eastbound approach ( 55.5 seconds/vehicle) and the westbound approach ( 121 seconds/vehicle), with the northbound approach at a Level of Service of E ( 38.1 seconds/vehicle). Based on anticipated traffic conditions, this intersection meets the warranting requirements for a traffic signal when applying the same growth rates to the base year 8 -hour turning movement count. The signal warrants for the 2031 horizon year are provided in Appendix F.

## Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Quaker Road

The intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Quaker Road, currently signalized, will continue to operate well below capacity in the AM and PM peak hour, with all movements at a Level of Service of C or better, except for the eastbound left turn movement, which will be operating at a Level of Service of D during the PM peak. This movement will still be well below capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.72 . It appears that there will continue to be sufficient storage in the eastbound left turn lane to accommodate left turn movements.


Figure 5-3: Level of Service Study Intersections (2031 Horizon Year)

## Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road)

While Merritt Road will be widened to a four-lane cross section, the intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Merritt Road, currently signalized, will experience considerable delay for the westbound left-turn during the AM peak hour (Level of Service of E) and will experience excessive delay and will be operating beyond capacity for the westbound left-turn during the PM peak hour (Level of Service of F and a volume-to-capacity ratio of 1.45). Storage for the westbound left turn will not be able to accommodate the anticipated traffic volume.

## Collector Road Intersections

Figure 5-4 shows the anticipated Level of Service at the collector road intersections for the 2031 horizon for the AM peak hour only. Based on the analysis, it was noted that all intersections would be operating well below capacity with minimal to modest delay (Level of Service of C or better), likely due to the relatively low amount of traffic generated by Blocks A through D. A review of the anticipated minor road traffic volumes indicates that there is no evidence that a traffic signal would be warranted at any of the study intersections and as such they would be able to operate with a Stop control on the minor road.

In the AM peak, there is no evidence to suggest that a left turn lane would be warranted on the major roads to facilitate left turn movements into any of the developments at the collector road intersections, given the anticipated left turn volumes. However, in the PM peak, a review of the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, left turn volumes (into the residential developments) will be higher on the major roads (Regional Road 54 (Rice Road), Quaker Road and First Avenue), reflecting the higher potential of inbound trips ( $63 \%$ compared to $25 \%$ ) according to the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition. Furthermore, the review indicates that there is a higher amount of traffic generated during the PM peak hour for single family detached housing (Land Use Code 210). Given this, there may be a possibility for left turn lanes to be required in the future on the major approaches.

### 5.4 Horizon Year Traffic Conditions (with Improvements)

Based on the results of the previous assessment of traffic operations at the study intersections, the following improvements were considered.

- Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) - signalization and addition of left turn lanes on all four approaches;
- Quaker Road and First Avenue -signalization and addition of left turn lane on all four approaches; and
- Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) - Increase time for exclusive westbound left turn phase and increase storage for westbound left turn lane ( 90 metres).

No improvements were considered for the intersections of Quaker Road and Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Quaker Road and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street).


Figure 5-4: Level of Service for Collector Road Intersections (2031 Horizon Year)

Figure $5-5$ shows the anticipated Level of Service at the study intersections for the 2031 horizon year with the indicated improvements in the AM and PM peak hours. The following was noted:

- Under signal control, the intersection of Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) will operate at a Level of Service of B or better during both the AM and PM peak hour;
- Under signal control, the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue will operate at a Level of Service of $C$ or better during both the AM and PM peak hour;
- With the increase in time for the exclusive westbound left turn phase, the Level of Service for the AM peak/PM peak will improve to $B$ and $C$ respectively. A Level of Service of $D$ is noted for the eastbound left/through movements in the PM peak hour.

While not formally assessed, the intersection of Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue are anticipated to operate adequately as a roundabout. Given that Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) has a four-lane cross section, a multi-lane roundabout is recommended for the intersection of Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road). A single-lane roundabout should be adequate for the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue.

Table 5-2 shows the recommended storage for the left turn lanes based on the above analysis.
Table 5-2: Recommended Storage

| Intersection | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quaker Road and Regional Road 50 (Rice Road) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Quaker Road and First Avenue | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) and Regional <br> Road 50 (Niagara Street/Merrittville Highway) | 30 | 90 | N/A | N/A |

Appendix G shows the Synchro outputs for the horizon year (2031) with the improvements described in this section.


Quaker Rd

Figure 5-5: Level of Service for Study Intersections (2031 Horizon Year) with Improvements

## $6 \quad$ CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The previous sections within this report document the review of existing and future horizon year conditions from a transportation engineering perspective. The following is noted.

### 6.1 Existing Conditions

A review of existing conditions indicates that both Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) through the study area are characterized as rural arterial roadways. First Avenue acts as a collector roadway, having an urban crosssection south of Quaker Road and a rural-cross section north of Quaker Road. Immediately west and east of the study area, Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) function as arterial roadways.

The two (2) major intersections within the study area are Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road and First Street; both of which operate under all-way stop control. Regional Road 36 (Pelham Street) and Quaker Road, Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Quaker Road, and Regional Road 37 (Merritt) and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street/Merrittville Highway) all operate under traffic signal control. These intersections were chosen due to the proximity to the study area and the potential for traffic generated by the development to impact traffic at these intersections.

The roadways within the study area have very limited active transportation facilities. There is a separated segment of granular pathway on the north side of Quaker Road between Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and 622 Quaker Road with a ladder cross-walk providing access to École Élémentaire Nouvel Horizon. No dedicated cyclist facilities have been provided along Quaker Road.

With the development of the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan, there is an opportunity to provide dedicated active transportation facilities for pedestrians and cyclists. According to the Regional Municipality of Niagara's Strategic Cycling Network Development Technical Paper (2017), First Avenue/Cataract Road was confirmed as a candidate for enhanced cyclist facilities. Limited transit service is provided within the study area with only a short segment of Quaker Road between First Street and Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) being serviced by Welland Transit. Expanded transit service should be considered in conjunction with the development of the subject lands.

Under existing conditions, the traffic operations analysis indicates that overall, the study intersections are all operating below capacity with an adequate Level of Service; all movements noted as being a Level of Service of C or better.

### 6.2 Future Conditions (2031 Horizon Year)

The preferred plan representing the full build-out of the North West Welland Secondary Plan will result in a population increase of 6,279 and an increase in employment of 84 jobs. A collector road has been proposed that will service residential development within the development. The collector road will provide new points of access on Claire Road and Rice Road south of Quaker Road, on Quaker Road between Rice Road and First Avenue and First Avenue north of Quaker Road, creating new intersections and is assumed to service low density residential development within different portions of the development.

Traffic conditions were assessed for the horizon year (2031), in consideration of the anticipated development of the North West Welland Secondary Plan, in addition to surrounding development. The Region has indicated that Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Merritt Road will be widened to a four-lane cross-section, and Merritt Road will be extended west to connect Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) to Cataract Road.

Associated Engineering applied growth factors to the study intersections based on a memo provided by IBI Group. The memo presents growth factors between 2011-2031 indicating changes in traffic patterns during the AM peak hour because of the anticipated growth both within the North West Secondary Plan, in the surrounding area, and because of the road improvements within the study area. Several roads within the study area will see a marked increase in traffic, particularly Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) and to a lesser degree, Quaker Road. IBI Group did not provide results for the PM peak, indicating that they had less confidence in the model outputs.

Based on the above, Associated Engineering developed an estimate of future traffic conditions at the five study intersections during the AM and PM peak. The PM peak results should only be used to make general inferences about future traffic conditions. Additionally, Associated Engineering developed an estimate of anticipated traffic conditions at the four new collector road intersections (AM only) because of development within the North West Welland Secondary Plan.

Under the horizon year (2031), the intersection of Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road and the intersection of First Avenue and Quaker Road will experience congestion and a Level of Service of F on some or all approaches to the intersection. Traffic signals are warranted at both intersections.

The eastbound left turn movement at the intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) will operate beyond capacity. No capacity issues were identified at the intersection of Pelham Street and Quaker Road or the intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Quaker Road.

Under the horizon year (2031), the collector road intersections are anticipated to operate well below capacity in the AM peak. All the intersections should be able to operate with a Stop control on the minor road. There may be a need for left turn lanes (on the arterial road) in the future.

Based on the results of the previous assessment of traffic operations at the study intersections, the following improvements were considered.

- Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) - signalization and addition of left turn lanes on all four approaches;
- Quaker Road and First Avenue - signalization and addition of left turn lanes on all four approaches; and
- Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Street) - Increase time for exclusive westbound left turn phase and increase storage for westbound left turn lane.

Based on the review of the anticipated Level of Service, the three intersections are anticipated to operate adequately under signal control. While not formally assessed, the intersection of Quaker Road and Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue are anticipated to operate adequately as a roundabout.

### 6.3 Anticipated Improvements

Based on the above, the following improvements are anticipated to be required to accommodating the level of traffic and for meeting future active transportation and transit needs because of the North West Welland Secondary Plan. Further traffic analysis should be undertaken closer to full build-out to further evaluate the timing and extent of the improvements.

## Capacity Improvements

- Signalization of the intersection of Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road with separate left turn lanes (30 metres on each approach) or a multi-lane roundabout (subject to a review of adjacent utilities and property requirements);
- Signalization of the intersection of First Avenue and Quaker Road with separate left turn lanes (30 metres on each approach) or a single-lane roundabout (subject to a review of adjacent utilities and property requirements) ${ }^{2}$;
- Increase westbound left turn lane storage (90 metres) and make phasing adjustments to the intersection of Regional Road 50 (Niagara Street) and Regional Road 37 (Merritt Road) by increasing the length of westbound left turn phase;
- Provide 30 metre left turn lanes for the collector road intersections on the major approaches:
- Northbound/southbound Rice Road at Street 'A'/Street 'B';
- Eastbound/westbound Quaker Road at Street 'B'/Street 'C'; and
- Northbound/southbound First Avenue at Street 'C'/Street 'D'.


## Active Transportation

- Consider the provision of either dedicated bicycle lanes (both sides) or a multi-use pathway (on one side) of Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue
- If bicycle lanes are being considered, provide 1.8 metre sidewalks along both sides of Quaker Road, Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and First Avenue within the North West Welland Secondary Plan area
- Provide a 1.8 metre sidewalk on one side of the collector roads (Street ' $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ', Street ' B ', Street ' $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and Street ' D ')


## Transit

- A dedicated transit line along the entire length of Quaker Road within the North West Welland Secondary Plan area
- Consider a transit line that services the low-density residential areas on the north and south side of Quaker Road

[^1]
### 6.4 Order of Magnitude Costs

The estimated order-of-magnitude cost for all the road works associated with the North West Welland Secondary Plan, including all the required improvements, is approximately $\$ 20.1$ Million. The order-of-magnitude cost assumes the following:

- Full reconstruction of City roads within the study limits (Quaker Road and First Avenue);
- 2800 metres of new collector road (Street ' $A$ ', Street ' $B$ ', Street ' $C$ ', and Street ' $D$ '), built to City of Welland standards for a collector road;
- 7300 metres of new local road (not shown on preferred plan), built to City of Welland standards for a local road;
- Signalization of two intersections (Regional Road 54 (Rice Road) and Quaker Road and First Avenue and Quaker Road with left turn lanes (all approaches)
- 30 metre left turn lanes on
- Northbound/southbound Rice Road at Street 'A'/Street 'B';
- Eastbound/westbound Quaker Road at Street 'B'/Street ' $C$ '; and
- Northbound/southbound First Avenue at Street 'C'/Street 'D'.


## APPENDIX A - TRAFFIC DATA

| Signal Code: PLHQUK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection: PELHAM ST.\& QUAKER RD. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipality: pelham |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner: city |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last Modified: 5/14/2015 1:23:40 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timing Parameters | NBD \& SBD THRU PELHAM RD. | EBD \& WBD THRU QUAKER RD. | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Min Green | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walk | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Clearance | 17 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Ext. | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max Green | 30 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Red | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | Offset |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Cycle | 30.8 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Cycle | 65.8 |  |
| Maximum Cycle | 66.8 | 0 |
| Operation | FA |  |

Installed On:
3/21/2005
Count Date:
7/23/2012
FA = Fully Actuated
SA = Semi Actuated
FT = Fixed Time
Close Window ${ }^{2}$ Print Entry* Refresh Entry

* Note: you need to change the paper orientation from Portriat to Landscape

Copyright 2001 © Regional Niagara

| Signal Code: 050QUK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection: RR50 (NIAGARA ST.) \& QUAKER RD. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipality: welland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner: Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last Modified: 1/24/2018 8:52:53 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timing Parameters | NBD \& SBD ADVANCE NIAGARA ST. | NBD \& SBD THRU NIAGARA ST. | EBD \& WBD THRU QUAKER RD. | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Min Green | 6 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walk | 0 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Clearance | 0 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Ext. | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max Green | 15 | 35 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow | 3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Red | 0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | Offset |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Cycle | 31.5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Cycle | 65.5 |  |
| Maximum Cycle | 91.5 | 0 |
| Operation | FA |  |

Installed On:
9/11/1995
Count Date:
7/14/2017

## FA = Fully Actuated

| SA $=$ Semi Actuated |  |  | FT $=$ Fixed Time |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Close Window | Print Entry* | Refresh Entry |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Note: you need to change the paper orientation from Portriat to Landscape

| Signal Code: 037050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection: RR37 (MERRITT RD.) \& RR50 (NIAGARA ST.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipality: welland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner: Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last Modified: 4/9/2018 9:38:41 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timing Parameters | NBD \& SBD NIAGARA ST. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WBD ADV. } \\ & \text { MERRITT RD. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EBD \& WBD } \\ & \text { MERRITT RD. } \end{aligned}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Min Green | 10 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Clearance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Ext. | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max Green | 40 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow | 4.1 | 3 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Red | 2.3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | Offset |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Cycle | 30.5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Cycle | 0 |  |
| Maximum Cycle | 90.5 | 0 |
| Operation | FA |  |

Installed On:
1/29/2002
Count Date:
6/21/2016
FA = Fully Actuated
SA = Semi Actuated
FT = Fixed Time
Close Window Print Entry* Refresh Entry
*Note: you need to change the paper orientation from Portriat to Landscape

## Turning Movement Count Report Full Study

Location............. Quaker Road @ Rice Road<br>Municipality....... WELLAND<br>GeolD....... 07178<br>Count Date....... Monday, 13 June, 2016




## First Ave @ Quaker Rd

## Total Count Diagram





## Turning Movement Count Report Full Study

Location............. Pelham Street @ Quaker Road<br>Municipality....... PELHAM<br>GeolD....... 00488<br>Count Date....... Monday, 23 July, 2012




## Turning Movement Count Report Full Study

```
Location............ Niagara Street @ Quaker Road
Municipality....... WELLAND
GeoID....... 00838
Count Date...... Friday, 14 July, 2017
```





## Turning Movement Count Report Full Study

```
Location............ Merritt Road @ Merrittville Highway/Niagara Street
Municipality....... WELLAND
GeoID....... 00424
Count Date....... Tuesday, 21 June, 2016
```





## APPENDIX B - TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ASSESSM ENT REPORTS (BASE YEAR, BACKGROUND TRAFFIC)

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 17.1 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 159 | 73 | 49 | 91 | 13 | 40 | 240 | 77 | 18 | 177 | 26 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 159 | 73 | 49 | 91 | 13 | 40 | 240 | 77 | 18 | 177 | 26 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 71 | 173 | 79 | 53 | 99 | 14 | 43 | 261 | 84 | 20 | 192 | 28 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 17.3 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $11 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $67 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 357 | 297 | 153 | 221 |
| LT Vol | 40 | 65 | 49 | 18 |
| Through Vol | 240 | 159 | 91 | 177 |
| RT Vol | 77 | 73 | 13 | 26 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 388 | 323 | 166 | 240 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.663 | 0.566 | 0.309 | 0.427 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.152 | 6.31 | 6.684 | 6.402 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 586 | 571 | 536 | 562 |
| Service Time | 4.201 | 4.362 | 4.748 | 4.459 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.662 | 0.566 | 0.31 | 0.427 |
| HCM Control Delay | 20.6 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 14.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | C | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 21.8 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 217 | 60 | 174 | 141 | 8 | 65 | 116 | 115 | 2 | 201 | 34 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 217 | 60 | 174 | 141 | 8 | 65 | 116 | 115 | 2 | 201 | 34 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 35 | 236 | 65 | 189 | 153 | 9 | 71 | 126 | 125 | 2 | 218 | 37 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.7 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 21.2 |  |  | 17.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $39 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $39 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 296 | 309 | 323 | 237 |
| LT Vol | 65 | 32 | 174 | 2 |
| Through Vol | 116 | 217 | 141 | 201 |
| RT Vol | 115 | 60 | 8 | 34 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 322 | 336 | 351 | 258 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.626 | 0.643 | 0.698 | 0.516 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.001 | 6.895 | 7.159 | 7.216 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 515 | 521 | 502 | 497 |
| Service Time | 5.065 | 4.962 | 5.223 | 5.288 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.625 | 0.645 | 0.699 | 0.519 |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.2 | 21.7 | 25.3 | 17.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | C | D | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 2.9 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\checkmark$ | $4$ | 4 | 4 | 9 | $p$ | ( | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 65 | 159 | 73 | 49 | 91 | 13 | 40 | 240 | 77 | 18 | 177 | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 65 | 159 | 73 | 49 | 91 | 13 | 40 | 240 | 77 | 18 | 177 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.967 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.984 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1562 | 0 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 0 | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1562 | 0 | 0 | 1572 | 0 | 0 | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 836.8 |  |  | 819.8 |  |  | 627.0 |  |  | 519.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 59.0 |  |  | 56.4 |  |  | 37.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 8\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 71 | 173 | 79 | 53 | 99 | 14 | 43 | 261 | 84 | 20 | 192 | 28 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

```
Area Type:
Other
```

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.3\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 32 | 217 | 60 | 174 | 141 | 8 | 65 | 116 | 115 | 2 | 201 | 34 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 32 | 217 | 60 | 174 | 141 | 8 | 65 | 116 | 115 | 2 | 201 | 34 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  | 0.974 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.948 |  |  | 0.981 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 1534 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.974 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 1534 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) |  | 819.8 |  |  | 825.5 |  |  | 222.1 |  |  | 515.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.0 |  |  | 59.4 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  | 37.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 7\% | 9\% | 3\% | 0\% | 5\% | 1\% | 11\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 35 | 236 | 65 | 189 | 153 | 9 | 71 | 126 | 125 | 2 | 218 | 37 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

```
Area Type:
Other
```

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.7\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 44 | 125 | 100 | 11 | 44 | 34 | 40 | 199 | 32 | 36 | 215 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 44 | 125 | 100 | 11 | 44 | 34 | 40 | 199 | 32 | 36 | 215 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.949 |  |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.992 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.994 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1592 | 0 | 0 | 1596 | 0 | 1644 | 1586 | 0 | 1644 | 1618 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.935 |  |  | 0.938 |  | 0.606 |  |  | 0.603 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1497 | 0 | 0 | 1506 | 0 | 1049 | 1586 | 0 | 1041 | 1618 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 53 |  |  | 37 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 251.9 |  |  | 404.7 |  |  | 1006.2 |  |  | 780.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 18.1 |  |  | 29.1 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 46.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 48 | 136 | 109 | 12 | 48 | 37 | 43 | 216 | 35 | 39 | 234 | 13 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 43 | 251 | 0 | 39 | 247 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 8:00 am 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.5 | 14.5 |  | 14.5 | 14.5 |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.5 | 30.5 |  | 30.5 | 30.5 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  | 54.3\% | 54.3\% |  | 54.3\% | 54.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -2.5 |  |  | -2.5 |  | -2.3 | -2.3 |  | -2.3 | -2.3 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 13.7 |  |  | 13.7 |  | 14.2 | 14.2 |  | 14.2 | 14.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.16 |  | 0.10 | 0.40 |  | 0.10 | 0.39 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 10.3 |  |  | 6.2 |  | 8.7 | 10.3 |  | 8.6 | 10.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 10.3 |  |  | 6.2 |  | 8.7 | 10.3 |  | 8.6 | 10.5 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  | A | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.3 |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 17.5 | 17.5 |  | 17.5 | 17.5 |  | 17.1 | 17.1 |  | 17.1 | 17.1 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 12.8 | 12.8 |  | 12.8 | 12.8 |  | 12.4 | 12.4 |  | 12.4 | 12.4 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 10.3 | 10.3 |  | 10.3 | 10.3 |  | 10.1 | 10.1 |  | 10.1 | 10.1 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Hold | Hold |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 8.7 |  |  | 1.9 |  | 1.4 | 8.6 |  | 1.3 | 8.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 27.9 |  |  | 9.1 |  | 6.5 | 26.1 |  | 6.1 | 26.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 227.9 |  |  | 380.7 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1140 |  |  | 1142 |  | 945 | 1430 |  | 937 | 1458 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.05 | 0.18 |  | 0.04 | 0.17 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 8:00 am 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Intersection Summary $\quad$ Other |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type: |  |
| Cycle Length: $66.8 \quad 36.1$ |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: |  |
| Natural Cycle: 40 |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49 |  |
| Intersection LOS: A |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.8 |  |
| Analysis Periopacity Utilization $55.8 \%$ |  |
| 90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 47.4 |  |
| 70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 38 |  |
| 50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 33.2 |  |
| 30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 31.2 <br> 10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 30.8 |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad 300$ : Pelham St \& Welland Rd/Quaker Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 207 | 15 | 88 | 15 | 27 | 25 | 59 | 341 | 17 | 6 | 243 | 102 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 207 | 15 | 88 | 15 | 27 | 25 | 59 | 341 | 17 | 6 | 243 | 102 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.871 |  |  | 0.928 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.956 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1628 | 1463 | 0 | 1536 | 1564 | 0 | 1495 | 3171 | 0 | 1644 | 3002 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.720 |  |  | 0.685 |  |  | 0.421 |  |  | 0.522 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1230 | 1463 | 0 | 1107 | 1564 | 0 | 662 | 3171 | 0 | 902 | 3002 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 96 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 80 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 825.5 |  |  | 214.4 |  |  | 467.2 |  |  | 1021.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.4 |  |  | 15.4 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 7\% | 1\% | 7\% | 0\% | 4\% | 10\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 225 | 16 | 96 | 16 | 29 | 27 | 64 | 371 | 18 | 7 | 264 | 111 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 225 | 112 | 0 | 16 | 56 | 0 | 64 | 389 | 0 | 7 | 375 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 8:00 am 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 11.1 | 16.6 |  | 11.1 | 16.6 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 35.9 | 35.9 |  | 35.9 | 35.9 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.6\% | 37.6\% |  | 37.6\% | 37.6\% |  | 18.8\% | 43.6\% |  | 18.8\% | 43.6\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 15.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.8 | 2.8 |  | 2.8 | 2.8 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.9 | -2.9 |  | -2.9 | -2.9 |  | 1.0 | -2.6 |  | 1.0 | -2.6 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.1 | 17.1 |  | 17.1 | 17.1 |  | 20.1 | 19.0 |  | 17.3 | 14.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.37 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  | 0.44 | 0.41 |  | 0.38 | 0.32 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.19 |  | 0.04 | 0.09 |  | 0.16 | 0.30 |  | 0.02 | 0.37 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.8 | 4.9 |  | 11.4 | 7.8 |  | 9.2 | 11.0 |  | 8.7 | 12.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 16.8 | 4.9 |  | 11.4 | 7.8 |  | 9.2 | 11.0 |  | 8.7 | 12.8 |  |
| LOS | B | A |  | B | A |  | A | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.8 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 12.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 8.8 | 18.0 |  | 6.0 | 15.2 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Min | Gap |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 16.4 | 16.4 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  | 8.0 | 23.1 |  | 0.0 | 12.1 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Skip | Gap |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 13.4 | 13.4 |  | 13.4 | 13.4 |  | 6.9 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Skip | Gap |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 10.9 | 10.9 |  | 10.9 | 10.9 |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Skip | Min |  | Skip | Min |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 0.0 | 10.5 |  | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Hold | Hold |  | Skip | Dwell |  | Skip | Dwell |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 14.3 | 0.8 |  | 0.9 | 1.6 |  | 2.6 | 8.8 |  | 0.3 | 10.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 35.3 | 9.0 |  | 4.2 | 7.7 |  | 9.6 | 28.2 |  | 2.2 | 25.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 801.5 |  |  | 190.4 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 997.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 912 | 1109 |  | 821 | 1167 |  | 572 | 2603 |  | 652 | 2478 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.10 |  | 0.02 | 0.05 |  | 0.11 | 0.15 |  | 0.01 | 0.15 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 8:00 am 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Intersection Summary $\quad$ Other |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type: |  |
| Cycle Length: $95.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 46 |  |
| Natural Cycle: 45 |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49 |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: $11.8 \quad$ |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $45.4 \%$ |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |
| 90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 63.5 |  |
| 70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 53 |  |
| 50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 46.9 |  |
| 30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 34.4 |  |
| 10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 32 |  |

Splits and Phases: 400: Niagara St \& Quaker Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | t |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 43 | 6 | 252 | 72 | 12 | 4 | 246 | 376 | 9 | 157 | 12 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 43 | 6 | 252 | 72 | 12 | 4 | 246 | 376 | 9 | 157 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.979 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.990 |  |
| Fit Protected |  | 0.994 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1662 | 0 | 1566 | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1663 | 1442 | 0 | 2984 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.938 |  | 0.619 |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.936 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1569 | 0 | 1020 | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 1442 | 0 | 2801 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 7 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 409 |  | 11 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 831.3 |  |  | 202.8 |  |  | 1021.4 |  |  | 164.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.9 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 61.3 |  |  | 9.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 5\% | 1\% | 17\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 11\% | 8\% | 17\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 47 | 7 | 274 | 78 | 13 | 4 | 267 | 409 | 10 | 171 | 13 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 62 | 0 | 274 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 409 | 0 | 194 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 8:00 am 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.1 | 14.1 |  | 13.0 | 14.1 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 26.1 | 26.1 |  | 18.0 | 26.1 |  | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 28.8\% | 28.8\% |  | 19.9\% | 28.8\% |  | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 15.0 | 20.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -2.1 |  | 1.0 | -2.1 |  |  | -2.4 | -2.4 |  | -2.4 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 11.5 |  | 19.7 | 19.7 |  |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.23 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  | 0.44 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.17 |  | 0.52 | 0.14 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.47 |  | 0.16 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 21.3 |  | 15.6 | 9.8 |  |  | 13.1 | 3.6 |  | 10.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 21.3 |  | 15.6 | 9.8 |  |  | 13.1 | 3.6 |  | 10.1 |  |
| LOS |  | C |  | B | A |  |  | B | A |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 21.3 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 10.7 | 10.7 |  | 15.0 | 28.7 |  | 29.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Max | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 8.5 | 8.5 |  | 14.3 | 25.8 |  | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Gap | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 11.5 | 22.5 |  | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Gap | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 11.1 | 8.0 |  | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Skip | Skip |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 11.1 | 8.0 |  | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Skip | Skip |  | Hold | Hold |  | Dwell | Dwell | Dwell | Dwell | Dwell |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 4.4 |  | 15.7 | 3.9 |  |  | 18.2 | 0.0 |  | 5.7 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 15.8 |  | 41.6 | 13.8 |  |  | 38.7 | 13.5 |  | 12.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 807.3 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 997.4 |  |  | 140.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 749 |  | 607 | 1308 |  |  | 1357 | 1254 |  | 2295 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.08 |  | 0.45 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.33 |  | 0.08 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type:
Other
Cycle Length: 90.5
Actuated Cycle Length: 50.7

Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Intersection Signal Delay: } 10.4 & \text { Intersection LOS: B } \\ \text { Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.3\% } & \text { ICU Level of Service A }\end{array}$
Analysis Period (min) 15
90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 70.9
70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 61.1
50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 52.9
30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 35.3
10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 33.4
Splits and Phases: $\quad 500$ : Niagara St/Merrittville Hwy \& Merritt Rd


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 20.5$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 150 | 47 | 61 | 200 | 28 | 61 | 204 | 58 | 25 | 234 | 53 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 150 | 47 | 61 | 200 | 28 | 61 | 204 | 58 | 25 | 234 | 53 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 35 | 163 | 51 | 66 | 217 | 30 | 66 | 222 | 63 | 27 | 254 | 58 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 16.9 |  |  | 20.4 |  |  | 22.2 |  |  | 21.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 323 | 229 | 289 | 312 |
| LT Vol | 61 | 32 | 61 | 25 |
| Through Vol | 204 | 150 | 200 | 234 |
| RT Vol | 58 | 47 | 28 | 53 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 351 | 249 | 314 | 339 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.662 | 0.491 | 0.609 | 0.644 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.785 | 7.105 | 6.979 | 6.832 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 530 | 505 | 515 | 529 |
| Service Time | 4.842 | 5.17 | 5.038 | 4.89 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.662 | 0.493 | 0.61 | 0.641 |
| HCM Control Delay | 22.2 | 16.9 | 20.4 | 21.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | C | C | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.8 | 2.7 | 4 | 4.5 |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 180 | 44 | 113 | 245 | 8 | 23 | 142 | 175 | 7 | 173 | 41 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 180 | 44 | 113 | 245 | 8 | 23 | 142 | 175 | 7 | 173 | 41 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 23 | 196 | 48 | 123 | 266 | 9 | 25 | 154 | 190 | 8 | 188 | 45 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 17.6 |  |  | 29.5 |  |  | 22.9 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $42 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $51 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 340 | 245 | 366 | 221 |
| LT Vol | 23 | 21 | 113 | 7 |
| Through Vol | 142 | 180 | 245 | 173 |
| RT Vol | 175 | 44 | 8 | 41 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 370 | 266 | 398 | 240 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.682 | 0.522 | 0.768 | 0.48 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.642 | 7.058 | 6.946 | 7.187 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 543 | 509 | 521 | 500 |
| Service Time | 4.702 | 5.128 | 5.004 | 5.257 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.681 | 0.523 | 0.764 | 0.48 |
| HCM Control Delay | 22.9 | 17.6 | 29.5 | 16.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | COS | C | D | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 5.2 | 3 | 6.8 | 2.6 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $1$ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 32 | 150 | 47 | 61 | 200 | 28 | 61 | 204 | 58 | 25 | 234 | 53 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 32 | 150 | 47 | 61 | 200 | 28 | 61 | 204 | 58 | 25 | 234 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.972 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 0 | 1679 | 0 | 0 | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 1636 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 0 | 1679 | 0 | 0 | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 1636 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 836.8 |  |  | 819.8 |  |  | 627.0 |  |  | 519.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 59.0 |  |  | 56.4 |  |  | 37.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 7\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 35 | 163 | 51 | 66 | 217 | 30 | 66 | 222 | 63 | 27 | 254 | 58 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 339 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

```
Area Type: Other
```

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.9\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 21 | 180 | 44 | 113 | 245 | 8 | 23 | 142 | 175 | 7 | 173 | 41 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 21 | 180 | 44 | 113 | 245 | 8 | 23 | 142 | 175 | 7 | 173 | 41 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.930 |  |  | 0.975 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 1637 | 0 | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 0 | 1684 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 1637 | 0 | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 0 | 1684 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 819.8 |  |  | 825.5 |  |  | 222.1 |  |  | 515.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.0 |  |  | 59.4 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  | 37.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 196 | 48 | 123 | 266 | 9 | 25 | 154 | 190 |  | 188 | 45 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

```
Area Type:
Other
```

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.2\%
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 21 | 125 | 108 | 41 | 144 | 75 | 107 | 271 | 37 | 65 | 324 | 62 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 21 | 125 | 108 | 41 | 144 | 75 | 107 | 271 | 37 | 65 | 324 | 62 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.943 |  |  | 0.961 |  |  | 0.982 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.992 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1612 | 0 | 0 | 1629 | 0 | 1612 | 1689 | 0 | 1644 | 1667 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.911 |  | 0.431 |  |  | 0.519 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1543 | 0 | 0 | 1496 | 0 | 731 | 1689 | 0 | 898 | 1667 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 66 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 251.9 |  |  | 404.7 |  |  | 1006.2 |  |  | 780.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 18.1 |  |  | 29.1 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 46.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 136 | 117 | 45 | 157 | 82 | 116 | 295 | 40 | 71 | 352 | 67 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 116 | 335 | 0 | 71 | 419 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.5 | 14.5 |  | 14.5 | 14.5 |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.5 | 30.5 |  | 30.5 | 30.5 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  | 54.3\% | 54.3\% |  | 54.3\% | 54.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -2.5 |  |  | -2.5 |  | -2.3 | -2.3 |  | -2.3 | -2.3 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.4 |  |  | 15.4 |  | 18.4 | 18.4 |  | 18.4 | 18.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.50 |  | 0.37 | 0.45 |  | 0.18 | 0.57 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 13.6 |  | 12.8 | 10.8 |  | 9.5 | 12.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 13.6 |  | 12.8 | 10.8 |  | 9.5 | 12.6 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  | B | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 12.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 21.6 | 21.6 |  | 21.6 | 21.6 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 15.1 | 15.1 |  | 15.1 | 15.1 |  | 19.2 | 19.2 |  | 19.2 | 19.2 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 11.6 | 11.6 |  | 11.6 | 11.6 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 9.2 | 9.2 |  | 9.2 | 9.2 |  | 11.4 | 11.4 |  | 11.4 | 11.4 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.8 |  | 4.7 | 13.5 |  | 2.6 | 17.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 33.2 |  |  | 38.2 |  | 18.1 | 38.8 |  | 10.7 | 50.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 227.9 |  |  | 380.7 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1049 |  |  | 1008 |  | 586 | 1357 |  | 720 | 1341 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.28 |  | 0.20 | 0.25 |  | 0.10 | 0.31 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 4:15 pm 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic

| Intersection Summary $\quad$ Other |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type: |  |
| Cycle Length: $66.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 42.3 |  |
| Natural Cycle: 40 |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57 |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: $12.0 \quad$ |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $66.8 \%$ |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |
| 90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 61.5 |  |
| 70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 47.1 |  |
| 50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 38.8 |  |
| 30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 33.4 <br> 10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 30.8 |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad 300$ : Pelham St \& Welland Rd/Quaker Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{6}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 151 | 45 | 160 | 26 | 29 | 13 | 157 | 372 | 27 | 47 | 577 | 184 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 151 | 45 | 160 | 26 | 29 | 13 | 157 | 372 | 27 | 47 | 577 | 184 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Fit |  | 0.883 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.964 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1596 | 1505 | 0 | 1644 | 1611 | 0 | 1628 | 3225 | 0 | 1644 | 3120 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.727 |  |  | 0.517 |  |  | 0.183 |  |  | 0.500 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1220 | 1505 | 0 | 894 | 1611 | 0 | 313 | 3225 | 0 | 865 | 3120 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 174 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 53 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 825.5 |  |  | 214.4 |  |  | 467.2 |  |  | 1021.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.4 |  |  | 15.4 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 164 | 49 | 174 | 28 | 32 | 14 | 171 | 404 | 29 | 51 | 627 | 200 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 223 | 0 | 28 | 46 | 0 | 171 | 433 | 0 | 51 | 827 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector ( $m$ ) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 4:15 pm 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 11.1 | 16.6 |  | 11.1 | 16.6 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 35.9 | 35.9 |  | 35.9 | 35.9 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.6\% | 37.6\% |  | 37.6\% | 37.6\% |  | 18.8\% | 43.6\% |  | 18.8\% | 43.6\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 |  | 15.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.8 | 2.8 |  | 2.8 | 2.8 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.9 | -2.9 |  | -2.9 | -2.9 |  | 1.0 | -2.6 |  | 1.0 | -2.6 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.5 | 17.5 |  | 17.5 | 17.5 |  | 39.1 | 34.0 |  | 30.7 | 25.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.60 | 0.52 |  | 0.47 | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.42 |  | 0.12 | 0.10 |  | 0.44 | 0.26 |  | 0.11 | 0.67 |  |
| Control Delay | 28.4 | 9.4 |  | 22.3 | 16.5 |  | 10.2 | 10.4 |  | 7.4 | 19.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 28.4 | 9.4 |  | 22.3 | 16.5 |  | 10.2 | 10.4 |  | 7.4 | 19.2 |  |
| LOS | C | A |  | C | B |  | B | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.4 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 18.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 24.5 | 24.5 |  | 24.5 | 24.5 |  | 15.0 | 42.5 |  | 7.5 | 35.0 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Max | Hold |  | Gap | Max |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 17.4 | 17.4 |  | 17.4 | 17.4 |  | 13.0 | 32.8 |  | 6.4 | 26.2 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Gap | Gap |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 10.5 | 26.4 |  | 6.0 | 21.9 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Min | Gap |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 10.7 | 10.7 |  | 10.7 | 10.7 |  | 9.2 | 29.6 |  | 0.0 | 17.4 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Skip | Gap |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.7 | 23.1 |  | 0.0 | 13.4 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Hold | Hold |  | Gap | Hold |  | Skip | Gap |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 16.0 | 4.3 |  | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | 7.5 | 15.0 |  | 2.1 | 37.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 41.6 | 23.3 |  | 10.0 | 11.6 |  | 20.5 | 31.0 |  | 7.6 | 74.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 801.5 |  |  | 190.4 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 997.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 635 | 867 |  | 465 | 845 |  | 488 | 2036 |  | 685 | 1935 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.06 | 0.05 |  | 0.35 | 0.21 |  | 0.07 | 0.43 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 4:15 pm 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Intersection Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area Type: Other |  |
| Cycle Length: 95.5 |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67 |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8 | Intersection LOS: B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.7\% | ICU Level of Service C |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |
| 90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 91 |  |
| 70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 73.1 |  |
| 50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 62.7 |  |
| 30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 53.8 |  |
| 10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 44.6 |  |

Splits and Phases: 400: Niagara St \& Quaker Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | t |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 51 | 6 | 410 | 101 | 23 | 5 | 171 | 338 | 5 | 340 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 51 | 6 | 410 | 101 | 23 | 5 | 171 | 338 | 5 | 340 | 13 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.972 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.995 |  |
| Fit Protected |  | 0.996 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 1612 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1680 | 1414 | 0 | 3238 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.958 |  | 0.606 |  |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.951 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 1028 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 1414 | 0 | 3082 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 6 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 367 |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 831.3 |  |  | 202.8 |  |  | 1021.4 |  |  | 164.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 59.9 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 61.3 |  |  | 9.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 13\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 5 | 55 | 7 | 446 | 110 | 25 | 5 | 186 | 367 | 5 | 370 | 14 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 67 | 0 | 446 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 367 | 0 | 389 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan 4:15 pm 07/23/2012 Base Year (2018) Background Traffic
Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 14.1 | 14.1 |  | 13.0 | 14.1 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 26.1 | 26.1 |  | 18.0 | 26.1 |  | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 28.8\% | 28.8\% |  | 19.9\% | 28.8\% |  | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% | 51.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 15.0 | 20.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 3.0 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -2.1 |  | 1.0 | -2.1 |  |  | -2.4 | -2.4 |  | -2.4 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 11.3 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.24 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  | 0.38 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.17 |  | 0.72 | 0.19 |  |  | 0.30 | 0.48 |  | 0.33 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 19.4 |  | 18.5 | 8.0 |  |  | 14.1 | 4.2 |  | 12.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 19.4 |  | 18.5 | 8.0 |  |  | 14.1 | 4.2 |  | 12.9 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  | B | A |  |  | B | A |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.4 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 12.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 10.2 | 10.2 |  | 15.0 | 28.2 |  | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Max | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 15.0 | 26.4 |  | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap |  | Max | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  | 15.0 | 26.0 |  | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Min | Min |  | Max | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 13.4 | 10.3 |  | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Skip | Skip |  | Gap | Hold |  | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Hold |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 11.1 | 8.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Skip | Skip |  | Hold | Hold |  | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 5.0 |  | 25.0 | 5.2 |  |  | 13.7 | 0.0 |  | 14.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 15.1 |  | \#62.0 | 15.5 |  |  | 27.8 | 13.9 |  | 24.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 807.3 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 997.4 |  |  | 140.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 824 |  | 673 | 1339 |  |  | 1399 | 1250 |  | 2602 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.08 |  | 0.66 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.14 | 0.29 |  | 0.15 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 90.5
Actuated Cycle Length: 47.6

Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.5 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
90th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 63
70th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 56.4
50th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 53.3
30th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 35
10th \%ile Actuated Cycle: 30.5
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 500: Niagara St/Merrittville Hwy \& Merritt Rd


## APPENDIX C - TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALJUSTIFICATION (BASE YEAR, BACKGROUND TRAFFIC)

## First Ave @ Quaker Rd

Count Date: 22-Mar-2016
Intersection: Quaker Rd \& First Ave
Major Road: Quaker Rd
Operating Speed of Major Road: $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$

Municipality: Welland
Major Road Runs: E/W one lane each way
Operating under restricted flow conditions

Warrant \#1: Minimum Vehicular Volumes.
A. All Approaches.

80\% Satisfied

B. Minor Street Both Approaches.

| 100\% | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% | 95 | 135 | 95 | 135 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No: |  |
| Minor Street Both Approaches | 100\% Fulfilled |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 800 |
|  | 80\% Fulfilled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Actual \% if Below 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  | 800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Actual Average (Total/8): |  |  |  | 100\% |

## First Ave @ Quaker Rd

Count Date: 22-Mar-2016
Intersection: Quaker Rd \& First Ave
Major Road: Quaker Rd
Operating Speed of Major Road: 50 km/hr

Municipality: Welland
Major Road Runs: E/W one lane each way
Operating under restricted flow conditions

Warrant \#2: Delay to Cross Traffic.
A. Major Street Both Approaches.

Not Satisfied

B. Traffic Crossing Major Street.

| 100\% | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% | 40 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No: |  |
| AllApproa-ches | 100\% Fulfilled |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 800 |
|  | 80\% Fulfilled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Actual \% if Below 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total: |  |  |  | 800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Actual Average (Total/8): |  |  |  | 100\% |

## First Ave @ Quaker Rd

Count Date: 22-Mar-2016
Intersection: Quaker Rd \& First Ave
Major Road: Quaker Rd
Operating Speed of Major Road: $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$

Municipality: Welland
Major Road Runs: E/W one lane each way
Operating under restricted flow conditions

Warrant \#3: Accident Experience.
Not Satisfied
A. Reportable accidents within a twelve month period averaged over 36 consequtive months susceptible to correction by a traffic signal.

| Minimum Requirements | Actual Number of Accidents | Average Number of Accidents | Fulfilled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0 in 0 years | Invalid | $0 \%$ |
| B. Adequate trial of less restrictive remedies has failed to reduce accident frequency. | No |  |  |
| C. Either Warrant 1 (Minimum Vehicular Volume) or Warrant 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) satisfied 80\% or more. | Yes |  |  |

Warrant \#4: Combination Warrant.
(Used if no warrant satisfied 100\%)
Not Satisfied

| Minimum Requirements | Warrant Satisfied $80 \%$ or More | Fulfilled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Two Warrants | Warrant 1 (Minimum Vehicular Volume) | Yes |
| Satisfied 80\% | Warrant 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) | No |
|  | Warrant 3 (Accident Experience) | No |

Conclusion: Traffic signal not warranted.

| Results Sheet | Input Sheet | Analysis Sheet | Proposed Collision | GO TO Justification: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection: Quaker Road / Rice Road |  | Count Date: 2016-0 |  |  |

Summary Results

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Minimum } \\ & \text { Vehicular } \\ & \text { Volume } \end{aligned}$ | A | Total Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |
|  | B | Crossing Volume | 100 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to <br> Cross <br> Traffic | A | Main Road | 57 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |
|  | B | Crossing Road | 100 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | A | Justificaton 1 | 100 | \% | Г | V |  |  |
|  | B | Justification 2 | 57 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4-Hr Volume |  |  | 100 | \% | $\Gamma$ | V |  |  |


| 5. Collision Experience | 0 | $\%$ | $\Gamma$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6. Pedestrians |  |  |  | $\Gamma$ | $\sqrt{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Justification not met |  |  |
|  |  | Delay | Justification not met |  |  |

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

To assist in clarifying the arithmetic analysis associated with traffic engineering, it is often useful to refer to "Level of Service". The term Level of Service implies a qualitative measure of traffic flow at an intersection. It is dependent upon vehicle delay and vehicle queue lengths at the approaches. Specifically, Level of Service criteria are stated in terms of the average stopped delay per vehicle for a 15-minute analysis period. The following table describes the characteristics of each level:

| $\underline{\text { Level of }}$ | Features | Stopped Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service |  | $\frac{\text { per Vehicle }}{\text { (sec) }}$ |
| A | At this level of service, almost no signal phase is fully utilized by traffic. Very seldom does a vehicle wait longer than one red indication. The approach appears open, turning movements are easily made and drivers have freedom of operation. | $\leq 5.0$ |
| B | At this level, an occasional signal phase is fully utilized and many phases approach full use. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within platoons of vehicles approaching the intersection. | $>5.0$ and $\leq 15.0$ |
| C | At this level, the operation is stable though with more frequent fully utilized signal phases. Drivers feel more restricted and occasionally may have to wait more than one red signal indication, and queues may develop behind turning vehicles. This level is normally employed in urban intersection design. | $\begin{gathered} >15.0 \text { and } \leq \\ 25.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| D | At this level, the motorist experiences increasing restriction and instability of flow. There are substantial delays to approaching vehicles during short peaks within the peak period, but there are enough cycles with lower demand to permit occasional clearance of developing queues and prevent excessive backups. | $\begin{gathered} >25.0 \text { and } \leq \\ 40.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| E | At this level, capacity is reached. There are long queues of vehicles waiting upstream of the intersection and delays to vehicles may extend to several signal cycles. | $\begin{gathered} >40.0 \text { and } \leq \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| F | At this level, saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the available capacity. | > 60.0 |

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ${ }^{(1)}$

The term "level of service" implies a qualitative measure of traffic flow at an intersection. It is dependent upon the vehicle delay and vehicle queue lengths at approaches. The level of service at unsignalized intersections is often related to the delay accumulated by flows on the minor streets, caused by all other conflicting movements. The following table describes the characteristics of each level.

## Level of Service

A

B

C

D

E

F

## Features

Little or no traffic delay occurs. Approaches appear open, turning movements are easily made, and drivers have freedom of operation.

Short traffic delays occur. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted in terms of freedom of operation.

Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may experience difficulty in completing their movement. This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on the major street.

Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the minor street experience significant restriction and frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor street interfere with the major through movements.

Very long traffic delays occur. Operations approach the capacity of the intersection.

Saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the available capacity. Very long traffic delays occur.
(1) Highway Capacity Manual - Special Report No.

209, Transportation Research Board, 1985.

## Memorandum

| To/Attention | Region of Niagara <br> Jordan Frost | Date | December 17, 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From | IBI Group | Project No | 118569 |
| cc | David Forsey <br> Greg Lue |  |  |
| Subject | DRAFT North West Welland Secondary Plan Model Run |  |  |

## Purpose

The City of Welland retained SGL Planning \& Design Inc. to develop the North West Welland Secondary Plan (NWWSP). To inform the design of local transportation infrastructure in the community, the City has requested a summary of future transportation demand from the Niagara Region Transportation Model. To this end, this memorandum describes the technical work undertaken by IBI Group to modify and re-run the model with new information provided by SGL.

## Scope

IBI Group was tasked with adding 2031 land use development for the North West Welland Secondary Plan into the Niagara Region Model and forecasting the vehicle growth on corridors of interest within the study area. For this study, IBI Group used the model to estimate the growth between 2011 and 2031 within the North West Welland Secondary Plan Development.

The corridors of interest are:

- Pelham Street between Port Robinson Road and Woodlawn Road;
- Rice Road between Port Robinson Road and Woodlawn Road;
- Cataract Road/First Avenue between Port Robinson Road and Woodlawn Road;
- Niagara Street between Port Robinson Road and Woodlawn Road;
- Merritt Road between Pelham Street and Rice Road;
- Merritt Road between Rice Road and Cataract Road (Extension);
- Merritt Road between Cataract Road and Highway 406; and
- Quaker Road between Pelham Street and Niagara Street.


## Niagara Region Travel Forecasting Model

The Niagara Region Travel Forecasting Model is a tool used to predict and analyze travel behaviour in the Regional Municipality of Niagara and in the western municipalities of the
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Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA). The purpose of the model is to quantify changes in travel behaviour, congestion, transit ridership, and many other metrics in response to changing demographic and transportation conditions.

The Model was developed in 2016 for the Niagara Region Transportation Master Plan and calibrated to a 2011 base year using Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data and observed counts. Population and employment is used as input to forecast a.m. and p.m. peak hour travel patterns for 2021, 2031, and 2041 horizon years

Niagara Region Model Network version 1.2 was used for this assignment.

## Population and Employment Growth

The North West Welland Secondary Plan study area covers Quaker Road between Line Avenue/Clare Avenue and Niagara Street, shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: North West Welland Study Area


Source: North West Welland Secondary Plan Study - Public Information Session 1
The North West Welland Secondary Plan adds population and employment to the model over and above the base case development assumptions for 2031. This growth is concentrated in four traffic zones within the City of Welland, as illustrated in Exhibit 2. The growth in total population and employment place of work (POW) for each zone is summarized in Exhibit 3. Between 2011 and 2031, the model's base case population and employment forecasts show a decrease of approximately 250 people and an increase of 630 jobs. However, the North West Welland Development adds approximately 6,280 people and 84 jobs to the study area by 2031. For this assignment it was assumed that the 2031 base growth occurs within the existing developed area while the Secondary Plan growth occurs in the undeveloped areas. This results in 2011-2031 net absolute growth of 6,030 people (189\%) and 714 jobs ( $36 \%$ ).
The Model uses detailed population and employment breakdowns (age groups, employment type, etc) as input to forecast traffic patterns. For the purpose of this analysis, no changes to the age distribution or employment job sector distribution were applied.

Exhibit 2: North West Welland Secondary Plan Growth Zones


Exhibit 3: Population and Employment Growth

| Zone | 2011 |  | 2031 Base |  | Increase from Secondary Plan |  | 2031 NWWSP |  | 20112031 Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Pop. | Total Jobs | Total Pop. | Total Jobs | Pop. | Jobs | Total Pop. | Total Jobs | Total Pop. | Total Jobs |
| 7740 | 1,100 | 379 | 1,151 | 635 | 1,414 | 0 | 2,564 | 635 | 133\% | 68\% |
| 7741 | 522 | 242 | 447 | 288 | 2,237 | 84 | 2,684 | 372 | 414\% | 54\% |
| 7742 | 1,148 | 403 | 982 | 491 | 1,335 | 0 | 2,317 | 491 | 102\% | 22\% |
| 7743 | 418 | 942 | 357 | 1,185 | 1,293 | 0 | 1,650 | 1,185 | 295\% | 26\% |
| Total | 3,187 | 1,966 | 2,937 | 2,598 | 6,279 | 84 | 9,216 | 2,682 | 189\% | 36\% |
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## Network Assumptions

Version 1.2 of the Niagara Region Travel Forecasting Model was used for this exercise, which incorporates updates to the road network in the City of Thorold and minor land use modifications and corrections within Thorold. In addition, transit itineraries were modified for Niagara Region Transit to better match existing service.

In the study area, there are no significant changes to Pelham Street, Cataract Road, Niagara Street or Quaker Road assumed in the model between 2011 and 2031. By 2031, Rice Road is assumed to be widened to 2 lanes in each direction between Port Robinson Road and Woodlawn Road. Merritt Road is assumed to be extended from Cataract Road to Rice Road and widened to 2 lanes in each direction by 2031. Exhibit 4 summarizes the number of lanes and capacity assumptions for the study area.

Exhibit 4: North West Welland Network Assumptions

| Street | Corridor | 2011 |  | 2031 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lanes per direction | Capacity per lane | Lanes per direction | Capacity per lane |
| Pelham Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 1 | 800 | 1 | 800 |
| Rice Road | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road |  | 1000 | 2 | 1000 |
| Cataract Road/First Avenue | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 1 | 1000 | 1 | 1000 |
| Niagara Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 2 | 1100 | 2 | 1100 |
| Merritt Road | Pelham Street and Rice Road | 1 | 500 | 1 | 500 |
| Merritt Road | Rice Road and Cataract Road (Extension) | -- |  | 2 | 500 |
| Merritt Road | Cataract Road and Highway 406 | 1 | 1000 | 2 | 1000 |
| Quaker Road | Pelham Street and Niagara Street | 1 | 700 | 1 | 700 |

## Results

Absolute growth in auto vehicle traffic was calculated for the corridors of interest. Exhibit 5 summarizes the auto volume growth along the corridors of interest. Note that the model has not been calibrated to local auto volumes for this assignment; therefore only the growth rates should be relied upon. Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7 show modelled auto volumes for 2011 and 2031 NWWSP scenarios, respectively.

Population in the study area doubles while employment is increased marginally from the North West Welland Secondary Plan development. The 2031 results with the development show a significant increase in auto traffic in the a.m. peak hour. The majority of the traffic growth will be carried by Rice Road and Merritt Road, which will be used to access Highway 406.

Region of Niagara
Jordan Frost - December 17, 2019

Exhibit 5: North West Welland 2011-2031 A.M. Peak Hour Auto Volume Growth by Corridor

| Street | Corridor | 2011 AM Peak Hour Volume |  | 2031 AM Peak Hour Volume NWWSP |  | 20112031 NWWSP Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB/EB | SB/WB | NB/EB | SB/WB | NB/EB | SB/WB |
| Pelham Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 221 | 256 | 257 | 283 | 16\% | 11\% |
| Rice Road | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 212 | 189 | 611 | 221 | 188\% | 17\% |
| Cataract Road/First Avenue | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 291 | 432 | 278 | 448 | -4\% | 4\% |
| Niagara Street | Port Robinson Road to Woodlawn Road | 286 | 237 | 443 | 317 | 55\% | 34\% |
| Merritt Road | Pelham Street and Rice Road | 146 | 31 | 367 | 182 | 151\% | 487\% |
| Merritt Road | Rice Road and Cataract Road (Extension) | -- | -- | 867 | 310 | -- |  |
| Merritt Road | Cataract Road and Highway 406 | 214 | 330 | 1181 | 815 | 452\% | 147\% |
| Quaker Road | Pelham Street and Niagara Street | 253 | 78 | 509 | 115 | 101\% | 47\% |

*Note: Auto volumes have not been calibrated to local level

Region of Niagara
Jordan Frost - December 17, 2019

Exhibit 6: 2011 AM Peak Hour Auto Volume


Exhibit 7: 2031 AM Peak Hour Auto Volumes - NWWSP

*Note: Auto volumes have not been calibrated to local level

## APPENDIX E - HORIZON YEAR (2031) - NO IM PROVEMENTS

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 174.4 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 102 | 250 | 115 | 63 | 117 | 17 | 80 | 478 | 153 | 20 | 196 | 29 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 102 | 250 | 115 | 63 | 117 | 17 | 80 | 478 | 153 | 20 | 196 | 29 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 111 | 272 | 125 | 68 | 127 | 18 | 87 | 520 | 166 | 22 | 213 | 32 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 96.1 |  |  | 24.2 |  |  | 317.8 |  |  | 28 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $11 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $67 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 711 | 467 | 197 | 245 |
| LT Vol | 80 | 102 | 63 | 20 |
| Through Vol | 478 | 250 | 117 | 196 |
| RT Vol | 153 | 115 | 17 | 29 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 773 | 508 | 214 | 266 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.639 | 1.071 | 0.511 | 0.618 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.006 | 9.082 | 10.622 | 10.027 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 464 | 406 | 343 | 362 |
| Service Time | 6.006 | 7.082 | 8.622 | 8.027 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.666 | 1.251 | 0.624 | 0.735 |
| HCM Control Delay | 317.8 | 96.1 | 24.2 | 28 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | C | $D$ |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 42.4 | 14.5 | 2.8 | 3.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 78.6 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 50 | 342 | 95 | 224 | 181 | 10 | 63 | 113 | 112 | 2 | 206 | 35 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 50 | 342 | 95 | 224 | 181 | 10 | 63 | 113 | 112 | 2 | 206 | 35 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 54 | 372 | 103 | 243 | 197 | 11 | 68 | 123 | 122 | 2 | 224 | 38 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 125.3 |  |  | 83.8 |  |  | 34.5 |  |  | 28.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $39 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $39 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 288 | 487 | 415 | 243 |
| LT Vol | 63 | 50 | 224 | 2 |
| Through Vol | 113 | 342 | 181 | 206 |
| RT Vol | 112 | 95 | 10 | 35 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 313 | 529 | 451 | 264 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.744 | 1.17 | 1.034 | 0.647 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 9.185 | 8.159 | 8.774 | 9.492 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 398 | 450 | 415 | 383 |
| Service Time | 7.185 | 6.159 | 6.774 | 7.492 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.786 | 1.176 | 1.087 | 0.689 |
| HCM Control Delay | 34.5 | 125.3 | 83.8 | 28.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | F | F | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 5.9 | 19.4 | 13.5 | 4.4 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 69 | 197 | 158 | 14 | 57 | 44 | 44 | 220 | 35 | 38 | 229 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 69 | 197 | 158 | 14 | 57 | 44 | 44 | 220 | 35 | 38 | 229 | 13 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 0 | 1576 | 0 | 1626 | 1569 | 0 | 1626 | 1600 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.930 |  |  | 0.929 |  | 0.571 |  |  | 0.554 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1473 | 0 | 0 | 1473 | 0 | 977 | 1569 | 0 | 946 | 1600 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 53 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 48 | 277 | 0 | 41 | 263 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 19.8 |  |  | 19.8 |  | 17.1 | 17.1 |  | 17.1 | 17.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.44 |  |  | 0.44 |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.19 |  | 0.13 | 0.46 |  | 0.12 | 0.43 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 6.9 |  | 11.5 | 13.7 |  | 11.4 | 13.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 6.9 |  | 11.5 | 13.7 |  | 11.4 | 13.7 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 13.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 20.5 |  |  | 2.9 |  | 2.3 | 14.1 |  | 1.9 | 13.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 69.5 |  |  | 14.2 |  | 8.7 | 36.7 |  | 7.8 | 35.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 228.0 |  |  | 380.5 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1003 |  |  | 1001 |  | 740 | 1193 |  | 717 | 1214 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.06 | 0.23 |  | 0.06 | 0.22 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 68.8
Actuated Cycle Length: 45.4
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.5\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 300: Pelham St \& Quaker Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | （ | $\ddagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 326 | 24 | 139 | 19 | 35 | 32 | 78 | 453 | 23 | 7 | 294 | 123 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 326 | 24 | 139 | 19 | 35 | 32 | 78 | 453 | 23 | 7 | 294 | 123 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1609 | 1448 | 0 | 1519 | 1547 | 0 | 1478 | 3135 | 0 | 1626 | 2968 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.709 |  |  | 0.646 |  |  | 0.302 |  |  | 0.461 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1198 | 1448 | 0 | 1032 | 1547 | 0 | 470 | 3135 | 0 | 788 | 2968 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 151 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 85 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 354 | 177 | 0 | 21 | 73 | 0 | 85 | 517 | 0 | 8 | 454 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 28.1 | 28.1 |  | 28.1 | 28.1 |  | 24.4 | 22.9 |  | 19.7 | 15.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.46 | 0.46 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 |  | 0.40 | 0.38 |  | 0.32 | 0.26 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.24 |  | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.28 | 0.44 |  | 0.02 | 0.54 |  |
| Control Delay | 21.2 | 4.4 |  | 11.8 | 7.6 |  | 13.7 | 15.8 |  | 11.1 | 19.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 21.2 | 4.4 |  | 11.8 | 7.6 |  | 13.7 | 15.8 |  | 11.1 | 19.1 |  |
| LOS | C | A |  | B | A |  | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.6 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 19.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 29.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.3 | 2.3 |  | 5.7 | 20.2 |  | 0.5 | 19.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 69.1 | 12.4 |  | 5.5 | 10.0 |  | 13.9 | 42.3 |  | 2.7 | 35.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 801.7 |  |  | 190.3 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 85.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 578 | 778 |  | 498 | 765 |  | 430 | 1999 |  | 520 | 1921 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.23 |  | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.20 | 0.26 |  | 0.02 | 0.24 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 91.5
Actuated Cycle Length： 60.8
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.64
Intersection Signal Delay： 16.1 Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55．8\％ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：400：Niagara St／Niagara Street \＆Quaker Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\$$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 21 | 131 | 18 | 454 | 130 | 22 | 5 | 327 | 500 | 11 | 190 | 14 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 21 | 131 | 18 | 454 | 130 | 22 | 5 | 327 | 500 | 11 | 190 | 14 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1645 | 0 | 1548 | 1620 | 0 | 0 | 1645 | 1426 | 0 | 2951 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.945 |  | 0.406 |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.932 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1564 | 0 | 662 | 1620 | 0 | 0 | 1640 | 1426 | 0 | 2759 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 6 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 543 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 185 | 0 | 493 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 543 | 0 | 234 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 26.1 | 26.1 |  | 18.0 | 44.1 |  | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.5 |  | 34.1 | 34.1 |  |  | 27.9 | 27.9 |  | 27.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.22 |  | 0.49 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 |  | 0.40 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.53 |  | 0.98 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.55 | 0.61 |  | 0.21 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 31.3 |  | 56.5 | 12.4 |  |  | 19.9 | 4.7 |  | 13.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 31.3 |  | 56.5 | 12.4 |  |  | 19.9 | 4.7 |  | 13.7 |  |
| LOS |  | C |  | E | B |  |  | B | A |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.3 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 20.2 |  | 44.8 | 10.5 |  |  | 34.2 | 0.0 |  | 9.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 46.4 |  | \#159.3 | 28.6 |  |  | 64.2 | 16.5 |  | 18.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 807.1 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 887.9 |  |  | 140.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 512 |  | 503 | 961 |  |  | 1023 | 1094 |  | 1725 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.36 |  | 0.98 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.50 |  | 0.14 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90.5
Actuated Cycle Length: 70.3
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.5
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.3\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 500: Niagara Street/Niagara St \& Merritt Rd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 1 |  |  | - |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 46 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 27 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 46 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 27 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 50 | 35 | 16 | 16 | 29 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 104 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 61 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 899 | 1033 | - | - | 1568 | - |
| Stage 1 | 985 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 967 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 890 | 1033 | - | - | 1568 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 890 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 985 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 957 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.2 |  | 0 |  | 2.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRW | BLn1 | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 956 | 1568 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.105 | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 9.2 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 24 | 0 | 68 | 17 | 0 | 47 | 22 | 640 | 15 | 6 | 360 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 24 | 0 | 68 | 17 | 0 | 47 | 22 | 640 | 15 | 6 | 360 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 26 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 51 | 24 | 696 | 16 | 7 | 391 | 9 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 19 | 389 | 15 | 6 | 269 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 19 | 389 | 15 | 6 | 269 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 21 | 423 | 16 | 7 | 292 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 57 | 61 | 0 | 14 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 67 | 0 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 150 | 14 | 22 | 202 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 67 | 0 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 150 | 14 | 22 | 202 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 73 | 0 | 46 | 47 | 0 | 28 | 10 | 163 | 15 | 24 | 220 | 15 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 218.2 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 50 | 236 | 74 | 79 | 257 | 36 | 121 | 406 | 115 | 28 | 259 | 59 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 50 | 236 | 74 | 79 | 257 | 36 | 121 | 406 | 115 | 28 | 259 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 54 | 257 | 80 | 86 | 279 | 39 | 132 | 441 | 125 | 30 | 282 | 64 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 97.3 |  |  | 109.9 |  |  | 417.6 |  |  | 90.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 642 | 360 | 372 | 346 |
| LT Vol | 121 | 50 | 79 | 28 |
| Through Vol | 406 | 236 | 257 | 259 |
| RT Vol | 115 | 74 | 36 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 698 | 391 | 404 | 376 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.853 | 1.029 | 1.073 | 1.001 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 10.029 | 12.244 | 12.159 | 12.437 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 370 | 301 | 303 | 293 |
| Service Time | 8.029 | 10.244 | 10.159 | 10.437 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.886 | 1.299 | 1.333 | 1.283 |
| HCM Control Delay | 417.6 | 97.3 | 109.9 | 90.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | F | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 43.9 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 10.4 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 68.4$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 33 | 284 | 69 | 145 | 315 | 10 | 22 | 138 | 170 | 7 | 177 | 42 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 33 | 284 | 69 | 145 | 315 | 10 | 22 | 138 | 170 | 7 | 177 | 42 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 36 | 309 | 75 | 158 | 342 | 11 | 24 | 150 | 185 | 8 | 192 | 46 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 55.5 |  |  | 121 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  | 25.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $42 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $52 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 230 | 386 | 470 | 226 |
| LT Vol | 33 | 145 | 7 |  |
| Through Vol | 138 | 284 | 315 | 177 |
| RT Vol | 170 | 69 | 10 | 42 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 359 | 420 | 511 | 246 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.8 | 0.922 | 1.157 | 0.595 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.572 | 8.407 | 8.152 | 9.336 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 427 | 436 | 444 | 388 |
| Service Time | 6.572 | 6.407 | 6.246 | 7.336 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.841 | 0.963 | 1.151 | 0.634 |
| HCM Control Delay | 38.1 | 55.5 | 121 | 25.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | E | F | F | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 7.1 | 10.3 | 18.7 | 3.7 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 197 | 170 | 53 | 185 | 97 | 118 | 299 | 41 | 69 | 346 | 66 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 197 | 170 | 53 | 185 | 97 | 118 | 299 | 41 | 69 | 346 | 66 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1594 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 0 | 1594 | 1669 | 0 | 1626 | 1648 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.946 |  |  | 0.892 |  | 0.380 |  |  | 0.460 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1513 | 0 | 0 | 1444 | 0 | 637 | 1669 | 0 | 785 | 1648 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 66 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 19 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 128 | 370 | 0 | 75 | 448 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 19.6 |  |  | 19.6 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 |  |  | 0.40 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.68 |  |  | 0.61 |  | 0.47 | 0.52 |  | 0.23 | 0.63 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 17.3 |  |  | 16.6 |  | 18.3 | 13.7 |  | 12.1 | 15.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 17.3 |  |  | 16.6 |  | 18.3 | 13.7 |  | 12.1 | 15.9 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.3 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 14.9 |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 22.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  | 7.2 | 20.5 |  | 3.7 | 26.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 65.6 |  |  | 56.2 |  | 24.4 | 49.7 |  | 13.0 | 63.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 228.0 |  |  | 380.5 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 973 |  |  | 918 |  | 449 | 1181 |  | 553 | 1167 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.40 |  | 0.29 | 0.31 |  | 0.14 | 0.38 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 68.8
Actuated Cycle Length: 49.1
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.1\%
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 300: Pelham St \& Quaker Road


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 禹 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 238 | 71 | 252 | 33 | 37 | 17 | 209 | 494 | 36 | 57 | 697 | 222 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 238 | 71 | 252 | 33 | 37 | 17 | 209 | 494 | 36 | 57 | 697 | 222 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1578 | 1489 | 0 | 1626 | 1590 | 0 | 1609 | 3184 | 0 | 1626 | 3103 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.719 |  |  | 0.332 |  |  | 0.125 |  |  | 0.435 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1191 | 1489 | 0 | 568 | 1590 | 0 | 212 | 3184 | 0 | 744 | 3103 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 201 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 56 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 259 | 351 | 0 | 36 | 58 | 0 | 227 | 576 | 0 | 62 | 999 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  | 18.0 | 41.6 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.8 | 23.8 |  | 23.8 | 23.8 |  | 46.8 | 39.4 |  | 36.7 | 30.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.30 | 0.30 |  | 0.30 | 0.30 |  | 0.59 | 0.50 |  | 0.46 | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.60 |  | 0.21 | 0.12 |  | 0.68 | 0.36 |  | 0.15 | 0.80 |  |
| Control Delay | 39.0 | 15.4 |  | 26.5 | 17.1 |  | 25.4 | 14.1 |  | 9.4 | 26.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 39.0 | 15.4 |  | 26.5 | 17.1 |  | 25.4 | 14.1 |  | 9.4 | 26.8 |  |
| LOS | D | B |  | C | B |  | C | B |  | A | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.5 |  |  | 20.7 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 25.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 37.2 | 18.7 |  | 4.3 | 4.6 |  | 17.1 | 28.7 |  | 3.8 | 70.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 67.9 | 47.7 |  | 12.5 | 13.5 |  | \#49.9 | 47.3 |  | 10.0 | 104.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 801.7 |  |  | 190.3 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 85.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 450 | 688 |  | 214 | 612 |  | 384 | 1722 |  | 584 | 1574 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.51 |  | 0.17 | 0.09 |  | 0.59 | 0.33 |  | 0.11 | 0.63 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 91.5
Actuated Cycle Length: 79
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.9
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.0\%
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 400: Niagara St/Niagara Street \& Quaker Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $4$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 18 | 738 | 182 | 41 | 7 | 227 | 449 | 6 | 411 | 16 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 18 | 738 | 182 | 41 | 7 | 227 | 449 | 6 | 411 | 16 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1682 | 0 | 1594 | 1611 | 0 | 0 | 1659 | 1399 | 0 | 3201 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.961 |  | 0.390 |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1623 | 0 | 654 | 1611 | 0 | 0 | 1631 | 1399 | 0 | 3044 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 6 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 488 |  | 6 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 204 | 0 | 802 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 488 | 0 | 471 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 26.1 | 26.1 |  | 18.0 | 44.1 |  | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.2 |  | 33.7 | 33.7 |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 22.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.24 |  | 0.53 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.34 | 0.34 |  | 0.34 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.52 |  | 1.45 | 0.28 |  |  | 0.45 | 0.61 |  | 0.45 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 26.9 |  | 228.7 | 10.0 |  |  | 19.4 | 5.4 |  | 17.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 26.9 |  | 228.7 | 10.0 |  |  | 19.4 | 5.4 |  | 17.6 |  |
| LOS |  | C |  | F | B |  |  | B | A |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 26.9 |  |  | 177.9 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  | 17.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 19.9 |  | ~106.3 | 13.3 |  |  | 22.3 | 0.0 |  | 21.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 43.8 |  | \#243.9 | 33.4 |  |  | 45.4 | 17.2 |  | 37.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 807.1 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 887.9 |  |  | 140.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 579 |  | 555 | 1042 |  |  | 1109 | 1107 |  | 2072 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.35 |  | 1.45 | 0.23 |  |  | 0.23 | 0.44 |  | 0.23 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90.5
Actuated Cycle Length: 63.9
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.45
Intersection Signal Delay: $84.1 \quad$ Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.4\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 500: Niagara Street/Niagara St \& Merritt Rd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | F |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | jor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1027 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1028 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1027 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 1027 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1028 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | s 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | - | - | - |








## APPENDIX F - TRAFFIC SIGNAL/LEFT TURN LANE W ARRANTS

## Quaker Road and Rice Road

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

## Restricted Flow Urban Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1 A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 1056 | 1521 | 1186 | 1169 | 1101 | 1567 | 1642 | 1514 |  |  |
| 1 A | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 619 | 881 | 652 | 633 | 612 | 920 | 938 | 861 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |

## Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

## Restricted Flow Urban Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Across | Section <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 442 | 647 | 537 | 538 | 492 | 655 | 710 | 658 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 61\% | 80\% | 75\% | 75\% | 68\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 599\% | 75\% |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 347 | 444 | 310 | 347 | 293 | 440 | 475 | 424 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |

## Warrant Summary

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum |  | Total Volume |  |  | 1 | \% |  |  |
| Volume |  | Crossing Volume | 1 | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic |  | Main Road | 75\% | \% |  |  |  |
|  |  | Crossing Road | 1 | \% |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | Either Justification 1 or 2 equals $100 \%$ or both greater than $80 \%$ ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Quaker Road and First Avenue

Justification 1: Minimum Vehicle Volumes

## Restricted Flow Urban Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Lanes |  | 2 or More Lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 1 A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 738 | 1415 | 951 | 934 | 913 | 1209 | 1372 | 1311 |  |  |
| 1 | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |
| 1B | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 294 | 532 | 335 | 363 | 357 | 436 | 567 | 452 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |

## Justification 2: Delay to Cross Traffic

## Restricted Flow Urban Conditions

| Justification | Guidance Approach Lanes |  |  |  | Percentage Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Across | Section <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 lanes |  | 2 or More lanes |  | Hour Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Condition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREE } \\ & \text { FLOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RESTR. } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 |  |  |
| 2A | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 448 | 904 | 640 | 580 | 564 | 800 | 803 | 897 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 62\% | 100\% | 80\% | 80\% | 78\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 701\% | 88\% |
| 2B | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 126 | 267 | 154 | 142 | 131 | 195 | 207 | 198 |  |  |
|  | COMPLIANCE \% |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 800\% | 100\% |

## Warrant Summary

| Justification |  |  | Compliance |  | Signal Justified? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | YES | NO |
| 1. Minimum |  | Total Volume |  |  | 100\% | \% |  |  |
| Volume |  | Crossing Volume | 100\% | \% |  |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic |  | Main Road | 88\% | \% |  |  |  |
|  |  | Crossing Road | 100\% | \% |  |  |  |
| 3. Combination | Either Justification 1 or 2 equals $100 \%$ or both greater than $80 \%$ ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G - HORIZON YEAR (2031) - W ITH IMPROVEMENTS

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | F |  | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }_{6}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 102 | 250 | 115 | 63 | 117 | 17 | 80 | 478 | 153 | 20 | 196 | 29 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 102 | 250 | 115 | 63 | 117 | 17 | 80 | 478 | 153 | 20 | 196 | 29 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1505 | 1548 | 0 | 1626 | 1519 | 0 | 1439 | 3064 | 0 | 1534 | 3100 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.665 |  |  | 0.455 |  |  | 0.600 |  |  | 0.327 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1054 | 1548 | 0 | 779 | 1519 | 0 | 909 | 3064 | 0 | 528 | 3100 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 36 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 22 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 111 | 397 | 0 | 68 | 145 | 0 | 87 | 686 | 0 | 22 | 245 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 19.0 | 19.0 |  | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.41 |  | 0.41 | 0.41 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.61 |  | 0.22 | 0.23 |  | 0.25 | 0.56 |  | 0.11 | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay | 12.3 | 15.5 |  | 12.4 | 10.7 |  | 14.1 | 13.6 |  | 13.3 | 10.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 12.3 | 15.5 |  | 12.4 | 10.7 |  | 14.1 | 13.6 |  | 13.3 | 10.6 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | B | B |  | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 14.8 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 13.7 |  |  | 10.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.7 | 21.6 |  | 3.4 | 6.7 |  | 4.6 | 19.8 |  | 1.1 | 6.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.9 | 55.9 |  | 12.4 | 19.9 |  | 16.7 | 46.8 |  | 6.0 | 16.5 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 813.0 |  |  | 302.4 |  |  | 271.6 |  |  | 495.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 917 | 1352 |  | 678 | 1324 |  | 704 | 2386 |  | 409 | 2406 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.29 |  | 0.10 | 0.11 |  | 0.12 | 0.29 |  | 0.05 | 0.10 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 49.2
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization $72.5 \%$ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 100: Rice Road \& Quaker Road


File Name: syn_20185190-00_sgl_nw_welland_2031_improve_2.syn

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year (2031) Total Traffic - With Improvements 200: First Avenue/Cataract Road \& Quaker Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 50 | 342 | 95 | 224 | 181 | 10 | 63 | 113 | 112 | 2 | 206 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 50 | 342 | 95 | 224 | 181 | 10 | 63 | 113 | 112 | 2 | 206 | 35 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1626 | 1558 | 0 | 1491 | 1651 | 0 | 0 | 1515 | 0 | 0 | 1644 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.628 |  |  | 0.397 |  |  |  | 0.883 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1075 | 1558 | 0 | 623 | 1651 | 0 | 0 | 1353 | 0 | 0 | 1639 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 26 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 54 | 475 | 0 | 243 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 4.3 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.1 | 31.1 |  | 31.1 | 31.1 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 20.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.51 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.33 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.59 |  | 0.77 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Control Delay | 8.7 | 13.4 |  | 31.0 | 9.3 |  |  | 25.9 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 8.7 | 13.4 |  | 31.0 | 9.3 |  |  | 25.9 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| LOS | A | B |  | C | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.0 |  |  | 21.0 |  |  | 25.9 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.7 | 30.0 |  | 18.8 | 10.9 |  |  | 24.5 |  |  | 21.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.0 | 69.1 |  | \#63.1 | 26.8 |  |  | 69.3 |  |  | 57.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 469.2 |  |  | 801.7 |  |  | 197.9 |  |  | 345.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 22.5 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 880 | 1280 |  | 510 | 1352 |  |  | 772 |  |  | 920 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.37 |  | 0.48 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.41 |  |  | 0.29 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 61.2
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 19.4
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.5\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 200: First Avenue/Cataract Road \& Quaker Road


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 69 | 197 | 158 | 14 | 57 | 44 | 44 | 220 | 35 | 38 | 229 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 69 | 197 | 158 | 14 | 57 | 44 | 44 | 220 | 35 | 38 | 229 | 13 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 0 | 1573 | 0 | 1626 | 1568 | 0 | 1626 | 1600 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.929 |  |  | 0.930 |  | 0.571 |  |  | 0.553 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1470 | 0 | 0 | 1472 | 0 | 977 | 1568 | 0 | 943 | 1600 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 51 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 48 | 277 | 0 | 41 | 263 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 53.0 | 53.0 |  | 53.0 | 53.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.7 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 21.4 |  |  | 21.4 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.44 |  |  | 0.44 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.68 |  |  | 0.18 |  | 0.14 | 0.50 |  | 0.12 | 0.47 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 15.7 |  |  | 6.7 |  | 14.1 | 16.9 |  | 13.9 | 16.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 15.7 |  |  | 6.7 |  | 14.1 | 16.9 |  | 13.9 | 16.6 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  | B | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.7 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 21.8 |  |  | 3.1 |  | 2.5 | 15.9 |  | 2.1 | 15.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 68.6 |  |  | 13.9 |  | 10.8 | 46.7 |  | 9.7 | 44.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 228.0 |  |  | 380.5 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1346 |  |  | 1348 |  | 712 | 1145 |  | 687 | 1167 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.09 |  | 0.07 | 0.24 |  | 0.06 | 0.23 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 48.3
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.1 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.9\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 300: Pelham St \& Quaker Road


File Name: syn_20185190-00_sgl_nw_welland_2031_improve_2.syn

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year（2031）Total Traffic－With Improvements 400：Niagara St／Niagara Street \＆Quaker Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \％ | 个 |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | \％ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 326 | 24 | 139 | 19 | 35 | 32 | 78 | 453 | 23 | 7 | 294 | 123 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 326 | 24 | 139 | 19 | 35 | 32 | 78 | 453 | 23 | 7 | 294 | 123 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1609 | 1448 | 0 | 1519 | 1547 | 0 | 1478 | 3135 | 0 | 1626 | 2968 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.709 |  |  | 0.646 |  |  | 0.411 |  |  | 0.461 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1198 | 1448 | 0 | 1032 | 1547 | 0 | 639 | 3135 | 0 | 788 | 2968 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 151 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 354 | 177 | 0 | 21 | 73 | 0 | 85 | 517 | 0 | 8 | 454 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 11.0 | 31.0 |  | 9.0 | 29.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 1.2 | 4.8 |  | 1.2 | 4.8 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 25.4 | 25.4 |  | 25.4 | 25.4 |  | 28.3 | 23.1 |  | 26.3 | 16.5 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 |  | 0.47 | 0.38 |  | 0.43 | 0.27 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.26 |  | 0.05 | 0.11 |  | 0.20 | 0.43 |  | 0.02 | 0.53 |  |
| Control Delay | 24.0 | 4.3 |  | 11.6 | 7.4 |  | 12.9 | 17.5 |  | 12.3 | 20.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 24.0 | 4.3 |  | 11.6 | 7.4 |  | 12.9 | 17.5 |  | 12.3 | 20.9 |  |
| LOS | C | A |  | B | A |  | B | B |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.4 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 16.9 |  |  | 20.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 31.3 | 1.7 |  | 1.3 | 2.4 |  | 4.8 | 19.3 |  | 0.4 | 19.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 69.4 | 12.0 |  | 5.4 | 9.8 |  | 17.3 | 54.3 |  | 3.3 | 43.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 801.7 |  |  | 190.3 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 85.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ $m$ ） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 905 | 1130 |  | 779 | 1177 |  | 447 | 1520 |  | 460 | 1346 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.39 | 0.16 |  | 0.03 | 0.06 |  | 0.19 | 0.34 |  | 0.02 | 0.34 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 60.7
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay： $17.6 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57．4\％ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：400：Niagara St／Niagara Street \＆Quaker Road


File Name：syn＿20185190－00＿sgl＿nw＿welland＿2031＿improve＿2．syn
Synchro 9 Report
Analyst（s）：Associated Engineering（Ont．）Ltd．／dd／js

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year（2031）Total Traffic－With Improvements 500：Niagara Street／Niagara St \＆Merritt Rd

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | $\leftarrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ＊$\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 21 | 131 | 18 | 454 | 130 | 22 | 5 | 327 | 500 | 11 | 190 | 14 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 21 | 131 | 18 | 454 | 130 | 22 | 5 | 327 | 500 | 11 | 190 | 14 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1626 | 3124 | 0 | 1548 | 3077 | 0 | 0 | 1645 | 1426 | 0 | 2951 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.648 |  |  | 0.582 |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.931 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1109 | 3124 | 0 | 948 | 3077 | 0 | 0 | 1640 | 1426 | 0 | 2756 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 14 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | 543 |  | 9 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 23 | 162 | 0 | 493 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 543 | 0 | 234 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.1 | 14.1 |  | 37.0 | 51.1 |  | 38.9 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 38.9 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 1.2 | 4.3 |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.3 | 10.3 |  | 37.9 | 34.6 |  |  | 26.2 | 26.2 |  | 26.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 |  | 0.54 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  | 0.37 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.34 |  | 0.70 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.62 |  | 0.23 |  |
| Control Delay | 36.0 | 31.6 |  | 18.0 | 9.1 |  |  | 23.3 | 5.4 |  | 16.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 36.0 | 31.6 |  | 18.0 | 9.1 |  |  | 23.3 | 5.4 |  | 16.1 |  |
| LOS | D | C |  | B | A |  |  | C | A |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.1 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 16.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 2.7 | 9.2 |  | 40.6 | 4.8 |  |  | 35.5 | 0.0 |  | 9.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 11.0 | 22.5 |  | 81.1 | 11.0 |  |  | 75.7 | 20.6 |  | 21.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ m ） |  | 807.1 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 887.9 |  |  | 140.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ $m$ ） | 22.5 |  |  | 90.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 163 | 471 |  | 882 | 2166 |  |  | 843 | 997 |  | 1422 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.34 |  | 0.56 | 0.08 |  |  | 0.43 | 0.54 |  | 0.16 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 70.2
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.70
Intersection Signal Delay： 15.9 Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67．8\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：500：Niagara Street／Niagara St \＆Merritt Rd


File Name：syn＿20185190－00＿sgl＿nw＿welland＿2031＿improve＿2．syn
Synchro 9 Report
Analyst（s）：Associated Engineering（Ont．）Ltd．／dd／js

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 1 |  |  | - |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 46 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 27 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 46 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 27 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 50 | 35 | 16 | 16 | 29 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 104 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 61 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 899 | 1033 | - | - | 1568 | - |
| Stage 1 | 985 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 967 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 890 | 1033 | - | - | 1568 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 890 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 985 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 957 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | S 9.2 |  | 0 |  | 2.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 956 | 1568 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.105 | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 9.2 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 19 | 389 | 15 | 6 | 269 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 19 | 389 | 15 | 6 | 269 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 21 | 423 | 16 | 7 | 292 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 57 | 61 | 0 | 14 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 67 | 0 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 150 | 14 | 22 | 202 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 67 | 0 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 150 | 14 | 22 | 202 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 73 | 0 | 46 | 47 | 0 | 28 | 10 | 163 | 15 | 24 | 220 | 15 |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 50 | 236 | 74 | 79 | 257 | 36 | 121 | 406 | 115 | 28 | 259 | 59 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 50 | 236 | 74 | 79 | 257 | 36 | 121 | 406 | 115 | 28 | 259 | 59 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1626 | 1552 | 0 | 1626 | 1666 | 0 | 1594 | 3052 | 0 | 1563 | 3074 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.560 |  |  | 0.537 |  |  | 0.544 |  |  | 0.416 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 958 | 1552 | 0 | 919 | 1666 | 0 | 913 | 3052 | 0 | 684 | 3074 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 23 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 51 |  |  | 38 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 54 | 337 | 0 | 86 | 318 | 0 | 132 | 566 | 0 | 30 | 346 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 |  | 47.0 | 47.0 |  | 43.0 | 43.0 |  | 43.0 | 43.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.6 | 16.6 |  | 16.6 | 16.6 |  | 16.2 | 16.2 |  | 16.2 | 16.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.39 | 0.39 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.15 | 0.55 |  | 0.24 | 0.49 |  | 0.38 | 0.48 |  | 0.12 | 0.29 |  |
| Control Delay | 10.4 | 13.9 |  | 11.7 | 13.0 |  | 14.7 | 11.2 |  | 11.3 | 9.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 10.4 | 13.9 |  | 11.7 | 13.0 |  | 14.7 | 11.2 |  | 11.3 | 9.6 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | B | B |  | B | B |  | B | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.4 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.3 | 15.8 |  | 3.8 | 15.2 |  | 6.4 | 13.5 |  | 1.3 | 7.4 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.1 | 43.1 |  | 13.7 | 40.2 |  | 21.4 | 31.5 |  | 6.4 | 18.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 813.0 |  |  | 302.4 |  |  | 271.6 |  |  | 495.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 882 | 1430 |  | 846 | 1534 |  | 809 | 2710 |  | 606 | 2728 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.24 |  | 0.10 | 0.21 |  | 0.16 | 0.21 |  | 0.05 | 0.13 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 42.9
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.9
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.5\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 100: Rice Road \& Quaker Road


Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year (2031) Total Traffic - With Improvements 200: First Avenue/Cataract Road \& Quaker Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | ( | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 284 | 69 | 145 | 315 | 10 | 22 | 138 | 170 | 7 | 177 | 42 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 284 | 69 | 145 | 315 | 10 | 22 | 138 | 170 | 7 | 177 | 42 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1626 | 1610 | 0 | 1505 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1556 | 0 | 0 | 1665 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.500 |  |  | 0.467 |  |  |  | 0.967 |  |  | 0.983 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 856 | 1610 | 0 | 740 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1509 | 0 | 0 | 1640 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 23 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 14 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 384 | 0 | 158 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 4.3 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.8 | 18.8 |  | 18.8 | 18.8 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 16.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.55 |  | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.5 | 13.0 |  | 16.9 | 12.6 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 13.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.5 | 13.0 |  | 16.9 | 12.6 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 13.1 |  |
| LOS | A | B |  | B | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.7 |  |  | 13.9 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 13.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.4 | 17.3 |  | 7.5 | 16.4 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.8 | 49.9 |  | 27.5 | 46.3 |  |  | 49.4 |  |  | 34.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 469.2 |  |  | 801.7 |  |  | 197.9 |  |  | 345.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 22.5 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 820 | 1543 |  | 709 | 1600 |  |  | 1109 |  |  | 1190 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.25 |  | 0.22 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 44.1
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.7
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 200: First Avenue/Cataract Road \& Quaker Road


File Name: syn_20185190-00_sgl_nw_welland_2031_improve_2.syn

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 197 | 170 | 53 | 185 | 97 | 118 | 299 | 41 | 69 | 346 | 66 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 197 | 170 | 53 | 185 | 97 | 118 | 299 | 41 | 69 | 346 | 66 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1594 | 0 | 0 | 1604 | 0 | 1594 | 1669 | 0 | 1626 | 1648 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.946 |  |  | 0.887 |  | 0.380 |  |  | 0.460 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1512 | 0 | 0 | 1434 | 0 | 637 | 1669 | 0 | 785 | 1648 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 64 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 128 | 370 | 0 | 75 | 448 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 53.0 | 53.0 |  | 53.0 | 53.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.7 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.0 |  |  | 22.0 |  | 23.8 | 23.8 |  | 23.8 | 23.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.62 |  | 0.47 | 0.52 |  | 0.22 | 0.63 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 19.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  | 20.2 | 15.5 |  | 13.8 | 17.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 19.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  | 20.2 | 15.5 |  | 13.8 | 17.9 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  | C | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 16.7 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 29.7 |  |  | 25.5 |  | 8.7 | 25.1 |  | 4.5 | 32.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 66.6 |  |  | 57.0 |  | 28.3 | 59.7 |  | 15.3 | 76.2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 228.0 |  |  | 380.5 |  |  | 982.2 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1280 |  |  | 1209 |  | 403 | 1060 |  | 497 | 1048 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.30 |  | 0.32 | 0.35 |  | 0.15 | 0.43 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.8
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: $17.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.5\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 300: Pelham St \& Quaker Road
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Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year (2031) Total Traffic - With Improvements 400: Niagara St/Niagara Street \& Quaker Road

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 个t |  | \% | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 238 | 71 | 252 | 33 | 37 | 17 | 209 | 494 | 36 | 57 | 697 | 222 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 238 | 71 | 252 | 33 | 37 | 17 | 209 | 494 | 36 | 57 | 697 | 222 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1578 | 1489 | 0 | 1626 | 1590 | 0 | 1609 | 3184 | 0 | 1626 | 3103 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.719 |  |  | 0.347 |  |  | 0.148 |  |  | 0.435 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1191 | 1489 | 0 | 593 | 1590 | 0 | 251 | 3184 | 0 | 744 | 3103 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 206 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 58 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 259 | 351 | 0 | 36 | 58 | 0 | 227 | 576 | 0 | 62 | 999 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 15.0 | 48.0 |  | 9.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 1.2 | 4.8 |  | 1.2 | 4.8 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 47.9 | 39.4 |  | 41.6 | 29.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.62 | 0.51 |  | 0.54 | 0.39 |  |
| V/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.60 |  | 0.21 | 0.12 |  | 0.59 | 0.35 |  | 0.13 | 0.81 |  |
| Control Delay | 40.2 | 15.2 |  | 25.8 | 16.8 |  | 17.2 | 13.4 |  | 7.8 | 26.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 40.2 | 15.2 |  | 25.8 | 16.8 |  | 17.2 | 13.4 |  | 7.8 | 26.6 |  |
| LOS | D | B |  | C | B |  | B | B |  | A | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.8 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 35.4 | 17.2 |  | 4.1 | 4.4 |  | 13.7 | 28.3 |  | 3.3 | 65.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 66.7 | 46.0 |  | 12.1 | 13.2 |  | 39.1 | 46.0 |  | 9.2 | 101.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 801.7 |  |  | 190.3 |  |  | 443.2 |  |  | 85.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 458 | 699 |  | 228 | 623 |  | 410 | 1871 |  | 495 | 1595 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.50 |  | 0.16 | 0.09 |  | 0.55 | 0.31 |  | 0.13 | 0.63 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 77
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.9
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.5\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad 400$ : Niagara St/Niagara Street \& Quaker Road


Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Horizon Year (2031) Total Traffic - With Improvements 500: Niagara Street/Niagara St \& Merritt Rd

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 18 | 738 | 182 | 41 | 7 | 227 | 449 | 6 | 411 | 16 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 18 | 738 | 182 | 41 | 7 | 227 | 449 | 6 | 411 | 16 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1626 | 3199 | 0 | 1594 | 3061 | 0 | 0 | 1659 | 1399 | 0 | 3201 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.601 |  |  | 0.567 |  |  |  | 0.980 |  |  | 0.949 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1028 | 3199 | 0 | 951 | 3061 | 0 | 0 | 1630 | 1399 | 0 | 3041 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  | 488 |  | 4 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 | 188 | 0 | 802 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 488 | 0 | 471 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 14.1 | 14.1 |  | 45.0 | 59.1 |  | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 1.2 | 4.3 |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 10.2 | 10.2 |  | 50.4 | 47.2 |  |  | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 22.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.64 | 0.60 |  |  | 0.28 | 0.28 |  | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.44 |  | 0.89 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.56 | 0.66 |  | 0.55 |  |
| Control Delay | 38.5 | 36.9 |  | 24.6 | 5.9 |  |  | 30.9 | 7.4 |  | 27.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 38.5 | 36.9 |  | 24.6 | 5.9 |  |  | 30.9 | 7.4 |  | 27.6 |  |
| LOS | D | D |  | C | A |  |  | C | A |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 37.0 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 27.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.5 | 14.8 |  | 83.6 | 6.5 |  |  | 36.1 | 0.0 |  | 34.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.6 | 26.0 |  | \#162.8 | 11.6 |  |  | 60.1 | 24.3 |  | 50.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 807.1 |  |  | 178.8 |  |  | 887.9 |  |  | 140.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 22.5 |  |  | 90.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 133 | 424 |  | 1013 | 2233 |  |  | 567 | 805 |  | 1061 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.44 |  | 0.79 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.45 | 0.61 |  | 0.44 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 78.4
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.6
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.9\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 500: Niagara Street/Niagara St \& Merritt Rd
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The growth factor was $22 \%$ for northbound traffic and $16 \%$ for southbound traffic, based on the average growth for other north-south roadways in the study area as presented in the IBI Group report.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This intersection did not meet the warranting requirements for a traffic signal.

